

Manuscript version: Author's Accepted Manuscript

The version presented in WRAP is the author's accepted manuscript and may differ from the published version or Version of Record.

Persistent WRAP URL:

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/127466>

How to cite:

Please refer to published version for the most recent bibliographic citation information. If a published version is known of, the repository item page linked to above, will contain details on accessing it.

Copyright and reuse:

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions.

Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Publisher's statement:

Please refer to the repository item page, publisher's statement section, for further information.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk.

Enumerative aspects of the Gross-Siebert program

Michel van Garrel, D. Peter Overholser, and Helge Ruddat

Abstract We present enumerative aspects of the Gross-Siebert program in this introductory survey. After sketching the program's main themes and goals, we review the basic definitions and results of logarithmic and tropical geometry. We give examples and a proof for counting algebraic curves via tropical curves. To illustrate an application of tropical geometry and the Gross-Siebert program to mirror symmetry, we discuss the mirror symmetry of the projective plane.

Michel van Garrel
KIAS, 85 Hoegiro, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 130-722, Republic of Korea
e-mail: vangarrel@kias.re.kr

D. Peter Overholser
KU Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200b, 3001 Leuven
e-mail: douglas.overholser@wis.kuleuven.be

Helge Ruddat
Mathematisches Institut, JGU Mainz, Staudingerweg 9, D-55128 Mainz
e-mail: ruddat@uni-mainz.de

1 Introduction

We begin with a brief description of the motivations and major ideas of the Gross-Siebert program. These will serve as the target about which the rest of this exposition is roughly clustered.

1.1 The Strominger-Yau-Zaslow conjecture and Gross-Siebert program

A duality of special Lagrangian torus fibrations $X \rightarrow B \leftarrow \check{X}$ of a Calabi-Yau X and its mirror dual \check{X} was conjectured by Strominger-Yau-Zaslow (SYZ) to be the geometric principle underlying mirror symmetry [46]. This intrinsic approach overcomes the need to embed Calabi-Yau threefolds in toric Fano varieties to study their mirror duals and allows patching local constructions. Hitchin [24] noticed that, given such a fibration, both the complex and symplectic structure of X give a real affine structure outside of the discriminant locus Δ on B . Furthermore, the two are related by a Legendre transform. In such a fibration, the roles of the affine structures are swapped for the mirror dual \check{X} , e.g. the complex structure of X and the symplectic structure of \check{X} yield the same affine structure. The discriminant locus of the fibration Δ in B coincides with the locus of real affine singularities of B . On the other hand, given an affine manifold B without singularities, one can construct both a Kähler and a complex manifold torically fibered over B , suggesting that the base may contain the information necessary to describe the mirror relationship. We will call the process of constructing a manifold from the affine base *reconstruction*.

In practice, it can be difficult to find even a single special Lagrangian torus, let alone a fibration. Nevertheless, families of Calabi-Yau's were observed to collapse to the base of such a fibration near suitably bad (large complex structure limit) degenerations. More precisely, in [23] Gross and Wilson studied the K3 case by combining the SYZ picture with the *Gromov-Hausdorff limit*, a metric limit where the fibres of the SYZ fibration shrink to points such that the limit coincides (as a metric space) with B . If one can recover the base of our desired fibration in such a way, and the base holds the information needed for mirror symmetry, this suggests a plan of attack. In particular, one may dream of starting with a family of manifolds, degenerating to the base, and reconstructing a mirror family.

This is precisely the motivating principle behind the Gross-Siebert program. The general large complex structure limit degeneration is replaced by a maximally unipotent degeneration of the Calabi-Yau manifold called a *toric degeneration*, where the central fiber is (roughly) glued from toric varieties along toric strata. Gross and Siebert succeeded in combining the SYZ approach with such degenerations, giving a versatile algebro-geometric framework for the study of mirror symmetry. The affine manifold appears in their work as the dual intersection complex of the special fibre.

The key concept is to encode information about the degeneration entirely in B . A toric degeneration gives additional data on B beyond the affine structure, namely a polyhedral decomposition \mathcal{P} and discrete Legendre potential φ . At the level of degeneration data, mirror symmetry is realized by a discrete Legendre transform

$$(B, \mathcal{P}, \varphi) \leftrightarrow (\check{B}, \check{\mathcal{P}}, \check{\varphi})$$

discretizing Hitchin's Legendre duality.

Kontsevich and Soibelman [32] demonstrated how one could reconstruct a K3 surface from an affine structure with singularities on S^2 . Using logarithmic geometry, Gross and Siebert were able to solve the reconstruction problem [20] in any dimension, obtaining a degenerating family of Calabi-Yau manifolds $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ over a holomorphic disk from the information of $(B, \mathcal{P}, \varphi)$ and a log structure. Furthermore, this family is parametrized by a canonical coordinate (in the usual sense in mirror symmetry). The construction features wall-crossings and scatterings, structures that encode enumerative information linking symplectic with complex geometry via tropical geometry. As will be hinted at in this exposition, Gromov-Witten theory [21] can also be incorporated in this framework.

1.2 Toric conventions

We assume familiarity with toric geometry. The interested reader is referred to the excellent exposition of Fulton [10]. As the following story is closely tied to toric geometry, it is convenient to begin by making a few conventions regarding notation.

Set $M := \mathbb{Z}^n$, $M_{\mathbb{R}} := M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, $N := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Z})$, $N_{\mathbb{R}} := N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. For $n \in N$, set $\langle n, m \rangle$ to be the evaluation of n on m . Set a toric fan Σ in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $\Sigma^{[n]}$ signify the set of n dimensional cones of Σ . Let X_{Σ} be the toric variety defined by Σ .

Denote by T_{Σ} the free abelian group generated by $\Sigma^{[1]}$. For $\rho \in \Sigma^{[1]}$, denote by v_{ρ} the corresponding generator in T_{Σ} . We will need the map

$$\begin{aligned} r : T_{\Sigma} &\rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}} \\ v_{\rho} &\mapsto \hat{\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\rho}$ is the integral vector generating ρ , that is $\rho \cap M = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \hat{\rho}$.

1.3 Toric degenerations

The object at the heart of the Gross-Siebert program is the *toric degeneration*. These are meant to be the algebro-geometric analogues of the large complex structure limit discussed above. Let R be a discrete valuation ring over an algebraically closed field k .

Definition 1.1. A *toric degeneration* is a normal algebraic space \mathcal{X} flat over $\mathrm{Spec} R$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \supset & \mathcal{X}_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Spec} R & \ni & 0 \end{array}$$

such that:

1. The general fiber is irreducible and normal.
2. If $v : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_0$ is the normalization, $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_0$ is a disjoint union $\coprod X_i$ of toric varieties that are glued along toric strata to form \mathcal{X}_0 . Furthermore, the conductor locus $C \subseteq \mathcal{X}_0$ is reduced, and the map $C \rightarrow v(C)$ is unramified and generically two-to-one. The square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow v \\ v(C) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X}_0 \end{array}$$

is Cartesian and co-Cartesian.

3. \mathcal{X}_0 is a reduced Gorenstein space and C restricted to each irreducible component of \mathcal{X}_0 is the union of all toric Weil divisors of that component.
4. There exists a closed subset $Z \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ of relative codimension 2 such that it does not contain the image under v of any toric stratum of $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_0$. Furthermore, outside of Z , all points x of \mathcal{X} have a local toric model. More precisely, we require the existence of a monoid $M_x \supseteq \mathbb{N}$ and an open set U_x satisfying:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Spec} k[M_x] & \longleftarrow & & U_x \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \\ & \swarrow & \text{smooth} \swarrow & & \downarrow \\ & \mathrm{Spec} k[M_x] \times_{k[\mathbb{N}]} & \mathrm{Spec} R & & \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow f & \\ \mathrm{Spec} k[\mathbb{N}] & \longleftarrow & & \mathrm{Spec} R & \end{array}$$

□

Furthermore the map $U_x \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k[M_x]$ identifies $X_0 \cap U_x$ with the toric boundary divisor in $\mathrm{Spec} k[M_x]$ near the origin.

Remark 1. Note that item 4 of the definition can be rephrased by just saying that $f : \mathcal{X} \setminus Z \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} R$ is log smooth, cf. [29]. See Section 2 for more on log structures.

Let $j : \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{X}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the inclusion. The monoid sheaf

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_0} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \cap j_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{X}_0}^\times$$

gives a log structure on \mathcal{X} and, by pulling back, one on \mathcal{X}_0 . See Section 2. We will spend much of our energies analyzing the affine structure derived from the combinatorial data of a degeneration, so we give a name for objects obtained in this fashion.

Definition 1.2. A *toric log Calabi Yau space* is the type of log space $(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_0} |_{\mathcal{X}_0})$ that can appear in the previous definition as a central fiber.

To reassure the reader that these technical definitions are not vacuous, we provide a concrete example.

Example 1.3. Let $\mathcal{X} := \{tf + z_0z_1z_2z_3 = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{A}_t^1$, with f_4 a generic quartic. Note that \mathcal{X} is the blowup of \mathbb{P}^3 along the union of the hypersurface defined by f_4 and that defined by $z_0z_1z_2z_3 = 0$. The singular locus is given by $\{t = f_4 = 0\} \cap \text{Sing}(\mathcal{X}_0)$. As \mathcal{X}_0 is the coordinate tetrahedron, we expect four points of intersection of $\{f_4 = 0\}$ with each edge, giving a total of 24 singular points. Defining $Z = \text{Sing}(\mathcal{X})$, it's easy to see that this is an example of a toric degeneration. We




Fig. 1: The set $Z \subseteq \mathcal{X}_0$ defined by the singularities of \mathcal{X} .

set $Z = \text{Sing}(\mathcal{X})$. Then $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ is a toric degeneration.


Given $x \in \mathcal{X}_0 \setminus Z$, what monoid M_x is related to the local toric model? Define $\text{stratum}(x) \subset \Delta$ to be the manifestation of the toric stratum containing x in the Newton polytope Δ of \mathbb{P}^3 .

Define $\widehat{M}_x := \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(\Delta - \text{stratum}(x)) \cap M$. Then $M_x = \widehat{M}_x / \widehat{M}_x^\times$. See Figure 2.

Toric degenerations are highly relevant to the theory of Batyrev-Borisov mirror duality [5], as evidenced by the following theorem of Gross [14]. We will state it in the hypersurface case, though its generalization is true for complete intersections.

Theorem 1.4. Let $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_\Delta$ be a suitable one-parameter family of Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces with \mathcal{X}_0 the toric boundary of \mathbb{P}_Δ . Then:

- $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ is a toric degeneration, with general fiber being a Calabi-Yau hypersurface in \mathbb{P}_Δ , where $\pi : \mathbb{P}_\Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta$ is a partial crepant projective resolution.
- There exists a so-called maximal partial crepant projective (MPCP) resolution $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_\Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta$ such that the affine manifold determined by the degeneration (see Section 1.4) is simple (well behaved in a certain sense; see Section 1.5 of [22]).

Fig. 2: The construction of M_x .

1.3.1 Reconstruction Theorem

Now that we've seen the applicability of toric degenerations, one may wonder if it is possible to reconstruct a degeneration given the information of the special fiber. Due to work of Gross and Siebert [20], it is possible to answer this in the affirmative.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{X}_0})$ be a locally rigid (a technical condition weaker than simplicity) log Calabi-Yau space. Then there exists a canonical toric degeneration $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[t]]$, and t is a canonical coordinate [43].*

1.4 Reduction to the affine manifold

Now let us see how to construct an affine manifold from the data of log Calabi-Yau space. There are two methods, related, as the reader may suspect, by mirror symmetry. In what follows, Let $v : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_0$ be the normalization of \mathcal{X}_0 , $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_0 = \coprod X_i$ with X_i toric, and the strata of \mathcal{X}_0 defined by

$$\text{Strata}(\mathcal{X}_0) := \{v(S) \mid S \text{ is a toric stratum of } X_i \text{ for some } i\}$$

1.4.1 The dual intersection complex or “fan picture”

Suppose $(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{X}_0})$ is a log Calabi-Yau space. Note that each component X_i of \mathcal{X}_0 is a toric variety X_τ with a corresponding fan Σ_τ in M . This data is used to construct an affine structure near strata of codimension greater than one in B . Topologically, these fans are then glued along the identification of toric strata given by v . This

construction falls short, however, of giving us an affine structure; there is no way of identifying the structure on one fan with another.

Applying Definition 1.1 (4), for each $\{x\} \in \text{Strata}(\mathcal{X}_0)$, there exists M_x , a Gorenstein monoid. Note that $\check{M}_x = \text{cone}(\Delta_x) \cap N$ for some Δ_x , so, in particular, each zero dimensional toric stratum is associated to a lattice polytope. These lattice polytopes allow us to interpolate between the affine structure of different fans, yielding an affine structure. However, as is easy to imagine, the affine structures arising from these constructions may not be sufficiently compatible to allow us stitch the topological manifold into an uninterrupted affine manifold. Rather, we must introduce singularities along a codimension two discriminant locus compatible with the polyhedral decomposition. This can be done canonically by using a barycentric subdivision.

The result of this construction is an affine manifold with singularities B along with a polyhedral decomposition \mathcal{P} . We will call the pair (B, \mathcal{P}) a tropical manifold.

If $(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{X}_0})$ is polarized by an ample line bundle \mathcal{L} , we can nicely encode this as additional data on our tropical manifold. In particular, each $\mathcal{L}|_{X_i}$ is an ample line bundle, giving a piecewise linear function on the fan Σ_i . Globally, we can glue these into a multi-valued (because of monodromy) piecewise linear function ϕ . We call the triple (B, \mathcal{P}, ϕ) a *polarized tropical manifold*.

1.4.2 The intersection complex or “cone picture”

If the data of the polarization seemed extraneous in the fan picture, it is essential in the following “cone picture.” Again, along each component X_i , $\mathcal{L}|_{X_i}$ an ample line bundle on a projective toric variety, with a corresponding polytope σ_i . We can glue these polytopes along the identifications given by v . This gives us a topological manifold \check{B} as well as a polyhedral decomposition $\check{\mathcal{P}}$. Just as before, we need a fan structure at the vertices to define an affine manifold structure to the topological gluing. Recall that, by the Gorenstein assumption, a monoid of the form $M_v = \{(m, a) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \oplus \mathbb{Z} \mid \phi(m) \geq a\}$ is associated to each vertex v . The domains of linearity of $\check{\phi}_v$ define a fan Σ_v in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. We can again glue (with singularities) using the polytope and fan structure, giving a polarized tropical affine manifold $(\check{B}, \check{\mathcal{P}}, \check{\phi})$.

1.4.3 The discrete Legendre transform

The definitions above beg for an explicit connection. The basic toric geometry correspondence between a polytope and a fan along with a piecewise linear function can be extended to a duality of polarized tropical manifolds taking (B, \mathcal{P}, ϕ) to $(\check{B}, \check{\mathcal{P}}, \check{\phi})$ called the discrete Legendre transform. This is the appropriate discretized version of the original relationship noticed by Hitchin between the complex and Kähler affine structures on the base of an SYZ fibration. Significantly, we have the following result.




Fig. 3: Patching an affine manifold from fans and polyhedra. Mismatches lead to singularities in the affine structure.




Fig. 4: An unavoidably misleading (flat paper provides an affine manifold without singularities!) representation of an affine manifold with singularities resulting from the identification in Figure 3.

Lemma 1.6. *For a given log Calabi-Yau space, the discrete Legendre transform interchanges the dual intersection complex with the intersection complex.*

1.5 Reconstruction of \mathcal{X}_0 from $(B, \mathcal{P}, \varphi)$

As we've seen, Theorem 1.5 shows that one can recover a toric degeneration from a log Calabi-Yau space. Can one recover a log Calabi-Yau space from an affine

manifold? Consider the map

$$\{\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{X}_0}\} \rightarrow \{(B, \mathcal{P}, \varphi)\}$$

from the set of LCY spaces to the set of polarized tropical affine manifolds given by operation of taking the intersection complex.

Recall each maximal cells σ_i of an affine manifold, if interpreted as an intersection complex, represents a projective toric variety \mathbb{P}_{σ_i} . As there is an 1-to-1 inclusion preserving correspondence between the toric strata of \mathbb{P}_{σ_i} and the polyhedral strata of σ_i , it's clear that we should glue \mathbb{P}_{σ_1} and \mathbb{P}_{σ_2} along \mathbb{P}_{τ} if $\tau = \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$. For each identification, there is a whole family of possible equivariant gluings. These choices are called *closed gluing data*. With a choice s of closed gluing data, one can recover a scheme $\check{\mathcal{X}}_0(B, \mathcal{P}, \varphi)$.

Not all choices of s result in something that can be the central fiber of a toric degeneration, because the gluing must carry a correct log structure. In order to guarantee the existence of such a log structure, we must consider closed gluing data that are induced by *open gluing data*. Each vertex v of \mathcal{P} comes with a monoid $P_v := \{(m, r) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z} \mid r \geq \varphi_v(m)\}$, where φ_v is a local representative of φ . Setting

$$\begin{aligned} U(v) &:= \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[P_v] \\ V(v) &:= \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[P_v]/(z^{(0,1)}) \end{aligned}$$

we obtain a local model. As shown by Gross and Siebert in [18], a necessary condition for $\check{\mathcal{X}}_0(B, \mathcal{P}, \varphi)$ to be the central fiber of a toric degeneration is that it can be expressed as an (equivariant) gluing of $V(v)$ along Zariski open subsets. These gluing choices are called *open gluing data*. Each $V(v)$ come with a divisorial log structure \mathcal{M}_v obtained from $V(v) \subseteq U(v)$, and the corresponding ghost sheaves $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_v = \mathcal{M}_v / \mathcal{M}_v^\times$ (see Section 2.2.2) are identified by the gluings. This gives us a ghost sheaf of monoids on $\check{\mathcal{X}}_0(B, \mathcal{P}, \varphi)$.

The following theorem is a main result of [18]


Theorem 1.7. *Given $(B, \mathcal{P}, \varphi)$ simple, the set of log Calabi-Yau spaces with intersection complex $(B, \mathcal{P}, \varphi)$ modulo isomorphism preserving B is $H^1(B, i_* \check{\Lambda} \otimes k^\times)$. An isomorphism is said to preserve B if it induces the identity on the intersection complex.*

Therefore, the fiber over a given manifold $(B, \mathcal{P}, \varphi)$ is identified with $H^1(B, i_* \check{\Lambda} \otimes k^\times)$, where $i : B \setminus \Delta \hookrightarrow B$, Δ is the discriminant locus of B , and Λ is the family of lattices locally defined by the flat affine integral vector fields on $B \setminus \Delta$. The element $0 \in H^1(B, i_* \Lambda \otimes k^\times)$ corresponds to an untwisted gluing. Hence we have a bijection

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{X}_0})\} & \xleftarrow{1:1} & \{((B, \mathcal{P}), s) \mid s \in H^1(B, i_* \Lambda \otimes k^\times)\} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{forgetful map} \\ \{\text{polarized}\} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \{((B, \mathcal{P}, \varphi), s) \mid s \in H^1(B, i_* \Lambda \otimes k^\times)\}. \end{array}$$

1.6 Mirror symmetry via the Gross-Siebert program

With these results in place, we can discuss an overall strategy of using these techniques to understand mirror symmetry. One begins with a polarized toric degeneration $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$, which can be distilled to a LCY space. By taking the dual intersection complex, we further reduce to a polarized tropical affine manifold $(B, \mathcal{P}, \varphi)$. From here, we wish to apply the reconstruction theorem to construct a degeneration $\check{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k[[t]]$ whose *intersection complex* is $(B, \mathcal{P}, \varphi)$. This degeneration should be dual (in the mirror sense) to the one we started with. The idea can be summed up in the following diagram.



The basic idea of mirror symmetry is to identify pairs of manifolds (or degenerations) for which the symplectic structure of one is closely related to the complex structure of the other. Much of the early excitement over mirror symmetry resulted from the identification of certain enumerative invariants on one manifold with the results of period integrals on another. One of the nice features of the above construction is that there is a combinatorial structure, the underlying affine manifold, which controls the symplectic structure of \mathcal{X} and the complex structure of $\check{\mathcal{X}}$. The natural geometry on tropical affine manifolds is *tropical geometry*, which leads one to hope that mirror symmetry can be well described by identifying tropical structures that describe both the symplectic structure of \mathcal{X} and the complex structure of $\check{\mathcal{X}}$.

1.6.1 Tropical data in the dual intersection complex

The utility of tropical curves for the computation of Gromov-Witten invariants has been known for some time. Please see Section 5 for more information about how these techniques fit into the overall structure of toric degenerations. In keeping with the overall philosophy of the program, the goal is to develop the machinery to compute Gromov-Witten invariants of the general fiber from the combinatorial data of the central fiber. The current interpretation relies on something called the *tropicalization functor* that uses log structures to produce polyhedral complexes; in particular, it recovers the dual intersection complex. As Gross and Siebert have shown, it is possible to construct a nice moduli space of so-called *log stable maps* for well behaved log spaces. These techniques are not yet applicable to the general toric degeneration framework, as the log structure of the central fiber fails to satisfy the requirements of the theorem on the points Z . Nevertheless, the image of a log stable map under the tropicalization functor should be a tropical curve in the dual intersection complex, giving some motivation for the hope that curve counting can entirely be done on the combinatorics of the affine manifold.

1.6.2 Tropical data in the intersection complex

The tropical data relevant to the complex structure of a manifold reconstructed from an intersection complex are given by the rays of a scattering diagram. In order to understand how this arises, we need to discuss the specifics of the reconstruction theorem. In the absence of singularities in the affine manifold, the reconstruction process constructs the well-known Mumford degeneration. Specifically, suppose that \tilde{B} is a polytope $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\check{\mathcal{P}}$ is a polyhedral decomposition of Δ induced by the bending locus of a piecewise linear function $\check{\phi}$. Consider

$$\hat{\Delta} := \{(m, a) \in \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R} \mid \check{\phi}(m) \geq a\}.$$

Setting $\mathcal{X} := \text{Proj } k[\text{cone}(\hat{\Delta}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+2}] = \mathbb{P}_{\hat{\Delta}}$, we see that setting $t := z^{(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0)}$ gives us a degeneration $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow k[t]$ which is a reconstruction of $(X_0, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{X}_0})$ (the LCY space achieved by a choice of “vanilla” gluing data). As you can see, this is just a gluing of the local models introduced in the discussion of the open gluing data. The introduction of singularities, however, creates a great deal of complication. The effort to create a reconstruction process began with the work of Fukaya in [8], who noted that perturbations of the complex structure (in dimension 2) should be concentrated along trees of gradient flow lines emanating from singular points of the affine manifold. Kontsevich and Soibelman further studied the two-dimensional case in [32], showing that a tropical affine surface with 24 focus-focus singularities can be used to construct a rigid analytic K3 surface. The key insight here was the use of gluing automorphisms attached to gradient flow lines, giving a “scattering diagram”. Gross and Siebert studied the problem using the dual affine structure in [20], where the gradient flows become straight lines. The local models are then glued using the au-

tomorphisms carried by this *scattering diagram*. This allowed a difficult extension to the higher dimensional case, yielding the theorem referenced above.

In the case of dimension two, the gluing automorphisms propagate along straight lines, and these straight lines collide and glue to form structures reminiscent of so-called *tropical disks* (see Section 3). Our guiding hope is that these are tropical manifestations of holomorphic disks. Nishinou has shown that such a correspondence does indeed exist [40]. Furthermore, as Auroux has explained in [3], one expects the complex structure on one side of the mirror to be controlled by holomorphic disks on the other side, lending further credence to this idea.




Fig. 5: Monodromy introduces an ambiguity in the identification of local models near a singularity. This difficulty is resolved by introducing gluing automorphisms along walls that are invariant under the monodromy induced by the singularity. See [20].

1.7 Structure

Having established a sketch of the main ideas of the Gross-Siebert program, we go on to explore some of major tools used in its study. In Section 2 we give an introduction to logarithmic geometry, an extremely important tool for the study of degenerating families. Next, we introduce tropical geometry in Section 3. The application of tropical geometry to enumerative questions is introduced in Section 4, utilizing logarithmic techniques. Finally, these enumerative results are connected with certain period calculations on a Landau-Ginzburg model of \mathbb{P}^2 in a sketch of Gross's construction mirror symmetry. This connection is achieved through an identification of tropical structures common to both the Landau-Ginzburg model and the tropical enumerative calculations.

1.8 Acknowledgements

We are indebted to the referee for a careful reading of the text and to Mark Gross for pointing out the application of the Welschinger invariant appearing in Section 4. These notes are sprung from the Fields Institute's thematic program in Calabi-Yau varieties. The authors would like to thank the Fields Institute for providing an excellent working and learning environment, and the program's organizers for their hard work and guidance, most of all Noriko Yui.

2 Introduction to Logarithmic Geometry

2.1 Introduction

The first goal of this chapter is to familiarize the reader with log structures and to overview some basic properties of these. The second, more specific goal is to introduce the reader to notions used in other sections of this chapter. Namely, this includes the definition of log smoothness in section 2.4, as well as the definition of torically transverse log curves in section 2.5. The third goal is to offer the reader an introduction to logarithmic Gromov-Witten theory. In order to do so, F. Kato's [28] local description of log smooth curves is illustrated in Section 2.6. This is then used in Section 2.7 to sketch the starting point for logarithmic Gromov-Witten theory. In particular, we will describe why log smooth maps are a natural (and powerful!) candidate to generalize (relative) stable maps.

Log geometry was introduced by Illusie and Fontaine, see [25], and by K. Kato, see [29]. Adding a log structure to certain singular schemes allows them to be treated as if they were smooth. The focus is on examples that illustrate this concept. The examples are taken from the book [16] by Gross. The interested reader is invited to consult that reference for a more thorough treatment of log geometry, as well as for more examples.

2.2 Motivation

Log structures are a vast abstraction of log differentials. Thus, to motivate log structures, we start by reviewing log differential. Let X be a smooth quasi-projective variety contained in a projective variety \bar{X} . Denote by $i : X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$ the inclusion and assume that the divisor $D = \bar{X} \setminus X$ is normal crossings. By definition, for a point $z \in D$ there is an affine open neighbourhood U of z in \bar{X} , and coordinates x_1, \dots, x_n on U such that $D \cap U$ is given by

$$x_1 \cdots x_p = 0,$$

for some $p \leq n$.

Definition 2.1. The *sheaf of log differentials* $\Omega_{\bar{X}}^q(\log D)$ is a sheaf on \bar{X} , defined locally as a subsheaf

$$\Omega_{\bar{X}}^q(\log D) \subseteq i_* \Omega_X^q,$$

as follows. Assume $U \subset \bar{X}$ is affine open and has coordinates x_1, \dots, x_p such that $D \cap U$ is given by $x_1 \cdots x_p = 0$ for some $p \leq n$. Define $\Omega_{\bar{X}}^q(\log D)(U)$ to be generated by

$$\frac{dx_1}{x_1}, \dots, \frac{dx_p}{x_p}, dx_{p+1}, \dots, dx_n.$$

The sheaf of log differentials recovers for X a number of properties that hold for projective varieties. For example, its hypercohomology calculates the cohomology of X :

$$\mathbb{H}^q(\bar{X}, \Omega_{\bar{X}}^\bullet(\log D)) \cong H^q(X, \mathbb{C}).$$

Taking this as a starting point, Deligne developed his theory of mixed Hodge structures, which provides analogous results for X as the Hodge structure does for \bar{X} . In mirror symmetry, this analogy is carried over to Yukawa couplings. Indeed, via variation of mixed Hodge structures, Konishi-Minabe in [30] define the local B -model Yukawa coupling in the setting of local Calabi-Yau threefolds. Their result mirrors the properties of the Yukawa coupling for the compact Calabi-Yau threefold case. These examples show that the sheaf of log differentials extends results that are true for projective varieties to quasi-projective ones.

We proceed to consider the relative version of the sheaf of log differentials in a family. It illustrates how using the sheaf of log differentials recovers results that hold true for smooth varieties to singular ones. It is part of Steenbrink's construction of the limiting mixed Hodge structure, see [45], for a normal crossings degeneration.

Consider a normal crossings degeneration. This consists of a one-dimensional flat family

$$f : X \rightarrow S,$$

such that S is smooth and such that the fibers X_s are smooth except for a closed point $0 \in S$. Moreover, f is assumed to be normal crossings. That means the following: For every $z \in X$, there is $U \ni z$ an affine open neighbourhood with coordinates x_1, \dots, x_n ; there is an affine open neighbourhood V of S with coordinate s ; U and V are such that $f|_U$ maps to V and is given by

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto s = x_1 \cdots x_p,$$

for some $p \leq n$. Define the *sheaf of relative log q -forms* as the quotient

$$\Omega_{X/S}^q(\log X_0) := \Omega_X^q(\log X_0) / \mathcal{F},$$

where

$$\mathcal{F} = f^* \Omega_S^1(\log 0) \wedge \Omega_X^{q-1}(\log X_0).$$

Then $\Omega_{X/S}^q(\log X_0)$ is a sheaf on X . To illustrate how it differs from $\Omega_X^q(\log X_0)$, consider log 1-forms. Since f is normal crossings, in an affine open neighbourhood $U \subset X$ of $z \in X_0$ and in suitable coordinates, $X_0 \cap U$ is given by $x_1 \cdots x_p = 0$. Thus, as above, $\Omega_X^1(\log X_0)(U)$ is generated by

$$\frac{dx_1}{x_1}, \dots, \frac{dx_p}{x_p}, dx_{p+1}, \dots, dx_n.$$

By definition $\Omega_{X/S}^1(\log X_0)$ has the same set of generators. Pulling back the 1-form ds/s yields the additional relation

$$\frac{dx_1}{x_1} + \cdots + \frac{dx_p}{x_p} = 0.$$

Consider the sheaf on X_0 obtained by the restriction to X_0 ,

$$\Omega_{X_0^\dagger/S^\dagger}^q := \Omega_{X/S}^q(\log X_0)|_{X_0}.$$

The sheaf $\Omega_{X_0^\dagger/S^\dagger}^q$ exhibits a lot of properties that would hold for $\Omega_{X_0}^q$ in case X_0 was smooth. For instance, $\Omega_{X_0^\dagger/S^\dagger}^q$ is locally free and the exterior derivative makes sense on $\Omega_{X_0^\dagger/S^\dagger}^q$. Moreover, it is shown in [45] that for f proper and log smooth (see section 2.12 below), the higher direct image

$$R^p f_* \Omega_{X/S}^q(\log X_0)$$

is locally free and furthermore imitates some of the properties that $R^p f_* \Omega_{X/S}^q$ enjoys in the smooth case. Namely, away from X_0 , $R^p f_* \Omega_{X/S}^q(\log X_0)$ is the sheaf of q -forms and so its fibers are the Dolbeault cohomology groups

$$H^p(X_s, \Omega_{X_s}^q),$$

whenever $s \neq 0$. And its fiber at 0 is

$$H^p(X_0, \Omega_{X_0^\dagger/S^\dagger}^q).$$

Finally, these cohomology groups are used by Steenbrink in [45] to define the limiting mixed Hodge structure associated to this degeneration.

We hope that this last example convinces the reader that using the sheaf of relative log differentials allows to treat the central fiber X_0 as if it was smooth. Log structures, though more abstract, are a vast generalization of this idea. They have the advantage that they can be considered over any scheme. The notion of *log smoothness*, see definition 2.12 below, applies much more generally than smoothness does, and exhibits many of the same properties than smoothness does.

2.2.1 The étale topology

In order to talk about log structures, the Zariski topology is too coarse in general. Instead, we need to consider sheaves in the étale topology. We briefly overview what it means for a sheaf to be defined in the étale topology. We refer the interested reader for a more thorough treatment of the topic to the book [39] by Milne.

Let X and Y be schemes. Recall that a flat morphism of finite type¹

$$\pi : X \rightarrow Y,$$

¹ If we strove for maximal generality, we would assume π to be flat and locally finitely presented.

is étale if and only if for any $q \in Y$, its preimage is written as a disjoint union

$$\pi^{-1}(q) = \sqcup_i \operatorname{Spec} K_i,$$

where the K_i are finite separable extensions of the residue field $k(q)$.

The étale topology adds more open subschemes to the Zariski topology. It is not a topology in the classical sense, but it exhibits the same properties. We do not provide a thorough overview of it, but rather describe what sheaves are in the étale topology and how they are used. Let X a scheme. Open neighbourhoods in the étale topology are defined as étale morphisms

$$U \rightarrow X.$$

Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf of sets (or of groups or of any other algebraic structure) in the étale topology. Then \mathcal{F} associates a set (or group etc.) $\mathcal{F}(U)$ to each étale map $U \rightarrow X$. Moreover, to each diagram of étale maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{\phi} & V \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & X, & \end{array}$$

\mathcal{F} associates a restriction map of sets (or of groups etc.)

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi) : \mathcal{F}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U).$$

These restriction maps are required to satisfy the usual sheaf axioms.

We review the definition of stalks in the context of the étale topology. Let $\bar{x} \rightarrow X$ be a geometric point. By definition, $\bar{x} = \operatorname{Spec}(k)$, where k is algebraically closed. Thus, choosing a geometric point amounts to choosing a point $x \in X$ and an inclusion $k(x) \subseteq k$ from the residue field $k(x)$ of x to an algebraically closed field k . The stalk of \mathcal{F} at \bar{x} is defined as the direct limit

$$\mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}} := \varinjlim \mathcal{F}(U),$$

where the limit is taken over diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{x} & \longrightarrow & (U, u) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & (X, x), \end{array}$$

for $(U, u) \rightarrow (X, x)$ pointed étale maps.

Throughout this section, we consider the schemes to be endowed with the étale topology, and the sheaves and stalks to be defined as above. For example, when we consider stalks of sheaves, we will always choose a geometric point.

2.2.2 Basic definitions

In this section, we introduce the terminology that is needed for the definition of log smoothness (Definition 2.12). We are mainly concerned with sheaves of monoids, with the monoid operation usually given by multiplication, the notable exemption concerning the ghost sheaves. Let X be a scheme and consider the sheaf of monoids \mathcal{O}_X with the monoid structure given by multiplication. A *pre-log structure* on X consists of a sheaf of monoids \mathcal{M}_X on X , in addition to a homomorphism of sheaves of monoids

$$\alpha_X : \mathcal{M}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X.$$

Then \mathcal{M}_X is a *log structure* if in addition the restriction

$$\alpha_X|_{\alpha_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^\times)} : \alpha_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^\times) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^\times$$

is an isomorphism. Throughout this section, we use the notation \mathcal{M}_X to denote a log structure on X . We write $X^\dagger = (X, \mathcal{M}_X)$ to indicate that the log structure is implicitly understood.

A morphism

$$f : X^\dagger \rightarrow Y^\dagger$$

of log structures consists of a morphism of the underlying schemes

$$f : X \rightarrow Y,$$

and a morphism of sheaves of monoids

$$f^\# : f^{-1}\mathcal{M}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X,$$

such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^{-1}\mathcal{M}_Y & \xrightarrow{f^\#} & \mathcal{M}_X \\ f^{-1}\alpha_Y \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_X \\ f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \mathcal{O}_X \end{array} \quad (1)$$

commutes.

The *ghost sheaf* $\overline{\mathcal{M}_X}$ is defined as the cokernel of α_X^{-1} restricted to \mathcal{O}_X^\times , yielding a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^\times \xrightarrow{\alpha_X^{-1}} \mathcal{M}_X \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}_X} \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that the ghost sheaf is written *additively*. As we will see in the examples of the next section, for the most important example of a log structure (the divisorial log structure), the ghost sheaf records the order of vanishing of regular functions. Since

the order of vanishing of the product of two functions is the sum of the individual orders, this justifies the additive notation.

Assume that we have a map of log schemes $f : X^\dagger \rightarrow Y^\dagger$. Since the inverse image functor f^{-1} is exact, $f^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{M}}_Y$ is the sheaf cokernel of

$$f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y^\times \rightarrow f^{-1}\mathcal{M}_Y.$$

Since (1) commutes, $f^\#$ induces a map on the ghost sheaves

$$\overline{f^\#} : f^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{M}}_Y \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_X.$$

For simplicity, we write $f^\# = \overline{f^\#}$ as well.

Let $\alpha : P_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ be a pre-log structure on X . The *log structure associated to P_X* is the sheaf of monoids

$$\mathcal{M}_X := \frac{P_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X^\times}{\{(p, \alpha(p)^{-1}) : p \in \alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^\times)\}},$$

in addition to the morphism of sheaves of monoids $\alpha_X : \mathcal{M}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ defined via

$$\alpha_X(p, f) := \alpha(p) \cdot f.$$

We show that this yields a log structure. Note that the map α_X is well-defined. Indeed, if $(p, \alpha(p)^{-1}) \in P_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X^\times$ is such that $p \in \alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^\times)$, then

$$\alpha_X(p, \alpha(p)^{-1}) = \alpha(p) \cdot \alpha(p)^{-1} = 1.$$

We need to prove that the restriction of α_X to

$$\alpha_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^\times) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^\times$$

yields an isomorphism. This map is surjective since if $f \in \mathcal{O}_X^\times$, then $\alpha_X(1, f) = f$. To show that it is injective, assume that $\alpha_X(p, f) = 1$. Then $\alpha(p) \cdot f = 1$, $f = \alpha(p)^{-1}$ and hence $(p, f) = (p, \alpha(p)^{-1}) = 1$.

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes and assume that Y is endowed with a log structure $\alpha_Y : \mathcal{M}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y$. The *pull-back log structure* on X , denoted by $f^*\mathcal{M}_Y$, is the log structure associated to the pre-log structure defined by the composition

$$f^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_Y) \xrightarrow{\alpha_Y} \alpha_Y^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y) \xrightarrow{f^*} \mathcal{O}_X.$$

The pullback commutes with the ghost sheaf, in the sense that

$$\overline{f^*\mathcal{M}_Y} = f^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{M}}_Y.$$

For a proof of this statement, see [16].

2.3 Examples

Unless specified otherwise, the monoids below are written multiplicatively. The exception is for the monoid \mathbb{N} , which is endowed with the operation of addition and which we assumed to contain 0.

Example 2.2. The *trivial log structure* on a scheme X consists of the invertible functions: $\mathcal{M}_X = \mathcal{O}_X^\times$.

Example 2.3. Let k denote a field. The *standard log point* over k is defined as

$$\mathrm{Spec} k^\dagger = (\mathrm{Spec} k, \mathcal{M} = k^\times \oplus \mathbb{N}),$$

where $\alpha : k^\times \oplus \mathbb{N} \rightarrow k$ sends

$$(y, n) \mapsto \begin{cases} y & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\alpha^{-1}(k^\times) = k^\times \oplus \{0\}$, hence $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \mathbb{N}$. In terms of the ghost sheaf, we can thus think of the standard log point to consist of a copy of \mathbb{N} on top of $\mathrm{Spec} k$.

Example 2.4. Next, we introduce the most important log structure, the *divisorial log structure*. Let X be a scheme and let $D \subset X$ be a closed subset of pure codimension 1. Denote moreover by $j : X \setminus D \hookrightarrow X$ the inclusion. Then the *divisorial log structure induced by D* is the log structure $\mathcal{M}_{(X,D)}$ on X defined by considering regular functions which are invertible away from D ,

$$\mathcal{M}_{(X,D)} := (j_* \mathcal{O}_{X \setminus D}^\times) \cap \mathcal{O}_X,$$

and by taking

$$\alpha_X : \mathcal{M}_{(X,D)} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$$

to be the inclusion.

Example 2.5. As a first example of divisorial log structure, consider the pair $(X, D) = (\mathbb{A}_k^1, \{0\})$ and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{(X,D)}$. We show that the restriction of \mathcal{M} to $\{0\}$ yields the standard log point, i.e. that the pull-back log structure $j^* \mathcal{M}$ is $\mathbb{N} \oplus k^\times$. As above, consider the inclusion (of schemes)

$$j : \{0\} = \mathrm{Spec} k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1.$$

Consider the restriction (pullback via j) of \mathcal{M} to $\{0\}$. \mathcal{M} is the sheaf of regular functions on \mathbb{A}_k^1 that are invertible away from $\{0\}$. Moreover, $j^{-1}(\mathcal{M})$, its stalk at the origin, is the germ of functions on \mathbb{A}_k^1 that are invertible away from $\{0\}$. In other words,

$$j^{-1}(\mathcal{M}) = \{\phi \cdot x^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, \phi \in \mathcal{O}(U)^\times, U \text{ \'etale neighborhood of } \{0\}\}.$$

Furthermore, $\alpha_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is the sheaf of invertible regular functions on \mathbb{A}_k^1 , and the map

$$j^* : \alpha_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\{0\}}$$

is the evaluation map. Putting this together, the composition

$$\alpha : j^{-1}(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_X} \alpha_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{j^*} \mathcal{O}_{\{0\}}$$

is the evaluation map and sends

$$\phi \cdot x^n \mapsto \begin{cases} \phi(0) \neq 0 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

We now take the log structure associated to α . The set

$$\{(\phi \cdot x^n, \alpha(\phi \cdot x^n)^{-1}) : \phi \cdot x^n \in \alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^\times)\}$$

consists of the elements of the form $(\phi, \phi(0)^{-1})$. Therefore, the associated log structure is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\{0\}} := j^* \mathcal{M} = \frac{\{\phi \cdot x^n\} \oplus k^\times}{\{(\phi, \phi(0)^{-1})\}} = \mathbb{N} \oplus k^\times;$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\{0\}} : \mathbb{N} \oplus k^\times &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\{0\}}; \\ (x^n, y) &\mapsto \begin{cases} y & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \geq 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This indeed is the standard log point.

Continuing on the above example, there is only one map of schemes

$$j : \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1.$$

In terms of log schemes however, and taking the same log structures as above, there are many maps

$$\{0\}^\dagger \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}_k^1)^\dagger.$$

Indeed, such a map corresponds to a choice of morphism between sheaves of monoids

$$j^\# : j^{-1} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\{0\}},$$

making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\{\phi \cdot x^n\} = j^{-1} \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{j^\#} & \mathcal{M}_{\{0\}} = \mathbb{N} \oplus k^\times \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\{\phi\} = j^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_k^1} & \xrightarrow{j^*} & \mathcal{O}_{\{0\}} = k^\times
\end{array}$$

commute. It follows that $j^\#$ is determined by two choices of morphisms of monoids

$$\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}, \quad (2)$$

$$\mathbb{N} \rightarrow k^\times. \quad (3)$$

A geometric way of seeing this map is at the level of the ghost sheaf. The stalks of the ghost sheaf $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ are trivial away from the origin, while its stalk at the origin is \mathbb{N} . The ghost sheaf of $\mathcal{M}_{\{0\}}$ on the other hand is \mathbb{N} . The map (2) is the map induced on ghost sheaves by j :

$$\overline{j^\#} : \mathbb{N} = j^{-1} \overline{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}_{\{0\}}} = \mathbb{N}.$$

Choosing as map of monoids the identity map implies that the log structure $\mathcal{M}_{\{0\}}$ is induced by \mathcal{M} via j .

The choice of the map (2) is extra information that is not seen at the level of schemes. This data however carries geometric information as we will see in the examples below.

Example 2.6. Next, we consider the affine plane $\mathbb{A}^2 = \text{Spec } k[x, y]$ with the divisorial log structure induced by the union of the coordinate axes $D = \{xy = 0\}$. For simplicity, we again denote this log structure by \mathcal{M} . \mathcal{M} is the sheaf consisting of regular functions on \mathbb{A}_k^2 that are invertible away from the coordinate axes. Denote again by $j : D \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^2$ the inclusion. Denote moreover by D_1 the x -axis and by D_2 the y -axis.

To illustrate what information is carried by it, we compute the ghost sheaf $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$, as well as the ghost sheaf $j^{-1} \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ of the restriction of \mathcal{M} to D . Denote by $i_1 : D_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^2$, resp. by $i_2 : D_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^2$ the inclusion maps. Denote by $\tilde{\mathbb{N}}$ the constant sheaf of monoids determined by \mathbb{N} on D_1 , resp. D_2 . We have a map of sheaves on monoids

$$\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow i_{1,*} \tilde{\mathbb{N}} \oplus i_{2,*} \tilde{\mathbb{N}},$$

defined as follows. Let $u : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^2$ be an étale morphism, and let f be a regular function on U that is invertible away from $u^{-1}(U)$. Then

$$\phi(U)(f) := (n, m),$$

where n , resp. m , is the order of vanishing of f along $u^{-1}(D_1)$, resp. $u^{-1}(D_2)$. The map ϕ factors through $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$. Indeed, if f and g have the same order of vanishing along $u^{-1}(D_1)$ and $u^{-1}(D_2)$, then $f \cdot g^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_U^\times$, so that $f = g$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(U)$. In fact, the kernel of ϕ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_k^2}^\times$, so that we obtain an injection:

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}} \hookrightarrow i_{1,*} \tilde{\mathbb{N}} \oplus i_{2,*} \tilde{\mathbb{N}}.$$

Moreover, the functions $x^n y^m$ have orders of vanishing (n, m) and thus the above map is surjective as well, thus an isomorphism. In particular, the stalk of \mathcal{M} at $x \in \mathbb{A}_k^2$ is

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{N} \oplus \mathbb{N} & \text{if } x = (0, 0), \\ \mathbb{N} & \text{if } x \in D - \{(0, 0)\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By abuse of notation, denote by i_1 , resp. by i_2 , the inclusions $D_i \hookrightarrow D$. Recall that $j^{-1} \overline{\mathcal{M}} = j^* \mathcal{M}$ as noted at the end of section 2.2.2. It follows that

$$j^* \overline{\mathcal{M}} = i_{1,*} \tilde{\mathbb{N}} \oplus i_{2,*} \tilde{\mathbb{N}}.$$

At the level of stalks, we can think of having a copy of \mathbb{N} on each component of D . In particular, this sheaf of monoids has nothing to do with functions on D , but rather remembers how D is embedded into \mathbb{A}_k^2 (it encodes the possible order of vanishing of functions).

Example 2.7. The previous example generalizes as follows. Let X be a locally Noetherian normal scheme and let $D \subset X$ be a closed subset of pure codimension 1. Take \mathcal{M} to be the divisorial log structure associated to D . Let $\bar{x} \rightarrow X$ be a geometric point and let r be the number of components of D that meet \bar{x} . Then there is an injection

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\bar{x}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^r.$$

The proof is analogous to the one given in the previous example. In particular, the above map is again induced by sending the germ of a regular function (invertible away from D) to its order of vanishing along the r components. Encoding the possible orders of vanishing, the divisorial log structure can be thought of as describing geometric information about how D is embedded into X .

In the last two examples, we computed the stalks of some ghost sheaves. A map of log schemes comes along with a pullback map of sheaves of monoids, and thus induces a pullback map on the stalks. These maps of monoids (or rather, of sheaves of monoids) can be thought of as extra combinatorial data. The next two examples explore the geometric information encoded by this data.

Example 2.8. We consider the case of a map

$$f : X^\dagger \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} k^\dagger.$$

from a log scheme to the standard log point over a field k . It follows from the map at the level of schemes that X is defined over k . The pull back map fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
f^{-1}\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Spec}k^\dagger} = k^\times \oplus \mathbb{N} & \xrightarrow{f^\#} & \mathcal{M}_X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}k} = k & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \mathcal{O}_X.
\end{array}$$

It follows that $f^\#$ is determined by a map $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. This in turn corresponds to a choice of section $\rho \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{M}_X)$, forming a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(0, 1) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \rho \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\alpha_{\mathrm{Spec}k^\dagger}(0, 1) = 0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \alpha_X(\rho) = 0.
\end{array}$$

It follows that the extra data carried by f is that of a section ρ of \mathcal{M}_X with the property that $\alpha_X(\rho) = 0$.

Example 2.9. We now consider a map in the opposite direction of the previous example. Consider the affine plane $(\mathbb{A}_k^2)^\dagger$ with log structure \mathcal{M} induced by the divisor D consisting of the union of the coordinate axes. Denote by $\mathrm{Spec}k^\dagger$ the standard log structure on $\mathrm{Spec}k$. Since we have not introduced toric geometry, for what follows we do not provide details - those can be found in [16]. Consider maps

$$f : \mathrm{Spec}k^\dagger \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}_k^2)^\dagger,$$

mapping $\mathrm{Spec}k$ to the origin. We explore the additional information carried by the pull back of sheaves of monoids. Denote by $\bar{0}$ a geometric point mapping to 0. We have the pull back map

$$f^\# : f^{-1}\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{\bar{0}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Spec}k^\dagger} = k^\times \oplus \mathbb{N},$$

which fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{M}_{\bar{0}} & \longrightarrow & k^\times \oplus \mathbb{N} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_k^2, \bar{0}} & \longrightarrow & k.
\end{array}$$

Now, cf. [16], the choice of pull back map $f^\#$ corresponds to a choice of toric blow up of \mathbb{A}_k^2 at the origin and a choice of point on the exceptional divisor (plus some minor extra information). In particular, the choice of $f^\#$ corresponds to a birational transformation on \mathbb{A}_k^2 . We discuss in section 2.7 how this insight is used to define log Gromov-Witten invariants.

2.4 Properties

The goal of this section is the definition of log smoothness, Definition 2.12. Before stating it, we need to introduce some further conditions that guarantee the well-behavedness of log schemes and log maps. The first one was explored in the examples of the previous section:

Definition 2.10. Let $f : X^\dagger \rightarrow Y^\dagger$ be a morphism of log schemes. Then f is said to be *strict* if the map

$$f^\# : f^{-1} \mathcal{M}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$$

induces an isomorphism of log structures (that is, an isomorphism of sheaves of monoids) between the pull-back log structure $f^* \mathcal{M}_Y$ and \mathcal{M}_X .

In the next definition, a log structure is said to be *fine* if étale locally it is realized as the log structure induced by a constant sheaf of monoids. The last section contained a number of examples of such log structures.

Definition 2.11. Let X^\dagger be a log scheme. Then \mathcal{M}_X is said to be *fine* if étale-locally the following conditions are satisfied: There is an étale open cover $\{f_i : U_i \rightarrow X\}$ of X . For each f_i , there is a finitely generated monoid P_i and a morphism of sheaves of monoids

$$g_i : \tilde{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_i},$$

where \tilde{P} denotes the constant sheaf of monoids on U_i induced by P_i . Then, the log structure induced by g_i is required to be isomorphic to the pull-back log structure $f_i^* \mathcal{M}_X$.

We now come to the definition (by infinitesimal lifting criterion) of log smoothness for fine log schemes.

Definition 2.12. Let $f : X^\dagger \rightarrow Y^\dagger$ be a map of fine log schemes and assume that f is of locally finite presentation. Then f is said to be *log smooth* if for each commutative diagram of fine log schemes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^\dagger & \longrightarrow & X^\dagger \\ \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow f \\ T'^\dagger & \longrightarrow & Y^\dagger \end{array},$$

where ι is a strict closed log immersion and where T is defined by a nilpotent ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{T'}$, there exists a unique log map $g : T'^\dagger \rightarrow X^\dagger$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^\dagger & \longrightarrow & X^\dagger \\ \downarrow \iota & \nearrow g & \downarrow f \\ T'^\dagger & \longrightarrow & Y^\dagger \end{array}$$

commute.

Note that unlike smooth morphisms, log smooth maps need not be flat, see [16] for an example.

We provide two examples of log smooth maps. The first example states that, with the appropriate choice of log structure, any toric variety is log smooth. The second example ties with the ideas outlined in the introduction. If $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$ is a smooth family of varieties, the fibers need not be smooth. The fibers will, however, be log smooth if X is toric and if f satisfies some properties. We do not provide the exact condition, as we haven't introduced toric varieties. The interested reader is referred to [16].

Example 2.13. Let X be toric variety and endow it with the divisorial log structure induced by the toric boundary. Then the structure map

$$X^\dagger \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} k,$$

where $\operatorname{Spec} k$ is given the trivial log structure, is log smooth.

If X is an affine toric variety over a field k , then there is a (toric) monoid P such that $X = \operatorname{Spec} k[P]$. The *monoid ring* $k[P]$ is defined as the formal sum

$$k[P] := \bigoplus_{p \in P} k \cdot z^p,$$

with multiplication linearly induced by $z^p \cdot z^{p'} = z^{p+p'}$. See [16] for how monoid rings are related to toric varieties. Note that $\mathbb{A}_k^1 = \operatorname{Spec} k[\mathbb{N}]$.

Example 2.14. Let $X = \operatorname{Spec} k[P]$ be an affine toric variety. Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1 = \operatorname{Spec} k[\mathbb{N}]$ be a family induced by a non-zero map $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow P$. Endow both X and \mathbb{A}_k^1 with the divisorial log structure coming from their respective toric divisors. Then f is log smooth. Furthermore, consider the fiber over 0:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \operatorname{Spec} k = \{0\} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_k^1. \end{array}$$

Endow X_0 with the pull-back log structure and $\operatorname{Spec} k$ with the standard log structure (which is the pull-back log structure as we saw in section 2.3). Then the map of fine log schemes

$$X_0^\dagger \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} k^\dagger$$

is log smooth (while it is not smooth).

We now introduce of the *relative log tangent sheaf*, which will be used in 5.6.

Definition 2.15. Let $\pi : X^\dagger \rightarrow S^\dagger$ be a morphism of log schemes and let \mathcal{E} be an \mathcal{O}_X -module. A *log derivation* on X^\dagger over S^\dagger with values in \mathcal{E} is a pair $(D, D\log)$ as follows:

$$D : \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$$

is an ordinary derivation of X over S .

$$D\log : \mathcal{M}_X^{gp} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$$

is a morphism of abelian sheaves such that $D\log \circ \pi^\# = 0$. They are moreover required to satisfy the compatibility condition that for all $m \in \mathcal{M}_X$,

$$D(\alpha_X(m)) = \alpha_X(m) \cdot D\log(m).$$

The resulting relative log tangent sheaf is denoted by $\Theta_{X^\dagger/S^\dagger}$.

We end this section with some definitions needed in the next section.

Definition 2.16. A monoid P is defined to be *integral* if the cancellation law holds. That is, whenever $x + y = x' + y$ in P , then $x = x'$.

Definition 2.17. Let P be a monoid with operation written additively and denote by P^{gp} the Grothendieck group associated to P . Then P is called *saturated* if P is integral and moreover if for all $p \in P^{gp}$, whenever there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $mp \in P$, then $p \in P$ as well.

The natural numbers are an example of a saturated monoid. Let $m \geq 2$ and consider the monoid

$$P = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \geq m\} \cup \{0\}.$$

Then P is not saturated.

Next comes a refinement of the property of being fine. Recall from section 2.2.1 that for sheaves defined in the étale topology, stalks are defined at geometric points.

Definition 2.18. Let X^\dagger be a fine log scheme and use the same notation as for definition 2.11. Then \mathcal{M}_X is said to be *fine saturated* if (in addition to being fine), at every geometric point $\bar{x} \rightarrow X$ of X , the stalk of the ghost sheaf $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{X, \bar{x}}$ is saturated.

The following couple definitions are motivated by the following (vaguely stated) fact: An integral homomorphism of monoids induces a flat map on the induced log schemes. See [16] for more details.

Definition 2.19. Let P and Q be integral monoids and let $h : Q \rightarrow P$ be a morphism of monoids. Then h is called *integral* if the following property holds. Assume there are $p_1, p_2 \in P$ and $q_1, q_2 \in Q$ such that

$$h(q_1) + p_1 = h(q_2) + p_2.$$

Then there are $q_3, q_4 \in Q$ and $p \in P$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= h(q_3) + p, \\ p_2 &= h(q_4) + p, \\ q_1 + q_3 &= q_2 + q_4. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.20. Let $f : X^\dagger \rightarrow Y^\dagger$ be a map of fine log schemes. Then f is said to be *integral* if the following holds. Let $\bar{x} \rightarrow X$ be a geometric point of X . Let $f(\bar{x}) \rightarrow Y$ be a geometric point such that $\bar{x} \rightarrow Y$ factors through $f(\bar{x})$. Then the induced morphism on the stalks of the ghost sheaves

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{Y, f(\bar{x})} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{X, \bar{x}}$$

is integral.

2.5 Torically transverse log curves

In this section, we introduce in definition 2.21 and 2.23 below the notion of *torically transverse (log) curve*, which is used for definition 4.8 and in section 5.2. This section assumes (conversational) knowledge of toric geometry and stable maps. Let $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a fan and denote by X_Σ the associated toric variety. Denote by ∂X_Σ the toric boundary (the union of the codimension 1 toric strata). Denote moreover by $\cup_{\tau \in \Sigma > 1} D_\tau$ the union of the toric strata of codimension two or higher. The reader versed in toric geometry will recognize the meaning of the notation.

Definition 2.21. A curve $C \subseteq X_\Sigma$ is said to be *torically transverse* if it is disjoint from $\cup_{\tau \in \Sigma > 1} D_\tau$.

Note that it follows that a torically transverse curve has no irreducible component contained in a codimension 1 stratum (since then it would intersect $\cup_{\tau \in \Sigma > 1} D_\tau$).

Definition 2.22. A stable map $f : C \rightarrow X_\Sigma$ is called *torically transverse* if its image $f(C) \subseteq X_\Sigma$ is torically transverse and no irreducible component of C is mapped into ∂X_Σ .

Consider now the following situation. Let k be a field and let Σ be a fan. Denote by X the toric variety associated to Σ . Moreover, denote by $\Sigma(\mathbb{A}_k^1)$ the fan of \mathbb{A}_k^1 . Endow both X and \mathbb{A}_k^1 with the standard log structure, i.e. with the divisorial log structure associated to the toric boundary. Assume we are given a surjective map of fans $\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma(\mathbb{A}_k^1)$. This yields a log smooth map

$$\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1,$$

which is a degeneration of toric varieties. Denote furthermore by $X_0 = \pi^{-1}(0)$ the central fibre. Endow X_0 with the log structure induced by the log structure of X . Restricting π to the central fibre, we obtain a morphism of log schemes

$$\pi_0 : X_0^\dagger \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k^\dagger,$$

where $\mathrm{Spec} k^\dagger$ denotes the standard log point, as in Example 2.3.

Definition 2.23. Assume the above setup and let C^\dagger be a log curve with fine saturated log structure. Consider a log map $f : C^\dagger \rightarrow X_0^\dagger$, whose underlying scheme map is a stable map. Assume moreover that for each codimension 1 toric strata D of X_0 , the restriction $f^{-1}(D) \rightarrow D$ is torically transverse. Then, a *torically transverse log curve* in X_0^\dagger is given by a commutative diagram of log maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^\dagger & \xrightarrow{f} & X_0^\dagger \\ & \searrow g \quad \swarrow \pi_0 & \\ & \text{Spec } k^\dagger & \end{array}$$

2.6 Log smooth curves

The starting point of logarithmic Gromov-Witten theory, as discussed in the next section, is the realization that log smooth maps behave very much like stable maps, and that many of the geometric tricks needed for stable maps are already encoded by morphisms of log structures. The latter property was illustrated by the examples of maps of log schemes in section 2.3. Here, we outline the local structure of log smooth curves, as established by F. Kato in [28].

Consider a morphism of log schemes

$$f : C^\dagger \rightarrow W^\dagger$$

satisfying the following list of conditions:

- The map f is log smooth, integral and of relative dimension 1;
- As a scheme, $W = \text{Spec } A$, where A is a complete local ring over an algebraically closed field k ;
- The log schemes C^\dagger and W^\dagger are fine saturated.

Denote by $0 \in W$ the closed point. As k is algebraically closed, 0 is the only geometric point and it follows that any sheaf will be determined by its stalk at 0 . Analogously, any map of sheaves will be determined by its values on the stalk at 0 . Let $Q := \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{W,0}$. Then the log structure on W is determined by a morphism

$$\sigma : Q \rightarrow A.$$

Denote by C_0 the fibre of f over 0 and let x be a geometric point of C_0 , in this case a k -valued point. The structure theorem by F. Kato then states that for a sufficiently small étale neighbourhood $U \rightarrow X$ of x , the log structure restricted to U is isomorphic to one of the three following log schemes.

(1) Smooth point

For the first case, $U = \operatorname{Spec} A[u]$, f is smooth (in the conventional sense) and the log structure on U is induced by

$$\begin{aligned} Q &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U \\ q &\mapsto f^* \sigma(q). \end{aligned}$$

The log structure thus is just the pull back of the log structure on the base, and contains no additional information.

(2) Double point

Let m denote the maximal ideal of A . In the second case, there is $t \in m$ such that $U = \operatorname{Spec} A[u, v]/(uv - t)$. Moreover, the log structure is as follows. There is $\alpha \in Q$ with $\sigma(\alpha) = t$. Consider the diagonal map $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^2$ and let $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow Q$ be determined by $1 \mapsto \alpha$. Denote by $\mathbb{N}^2 \oplus_{\mathbb{N}} Q$ the fibred sum determined by these maps. Then the log structure on U is induced by the pre-log structure

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{N}^2 \oplus Q &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U, \\ ((a, b), q) &\mapsto u^a v^b f^* \sigma(q). \end{aligned}$$

Here, C_0 is nodal.

(3) Log marked points

For the third case, $U = \operatorname{Spec} A[u]$ and the log structure is induced by the pre-log structure

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{N} \oplus Q &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U, \\ (a, q) &\mapsto u^a f^* \sigma(q). \end{aligned}$$

In this case, the point $u = 0$ is the image of a section $W \rightarrow C$, which should be thought of as a marked point. Moreover, the log structure is the sum of on one hand the pull-back log structure from the base and on the other hand the divisorial log structure associated to the divisor $u = 0$. In addition to simply choosing a point $u = 0$, the ghost sheaf at $u = 0$ has (compared to a smooth point) an additional copy of \mathbb{N} . Maps from C to a log scheme will come with a pullback map at the level of the ghost sheaves. In the case explored in the next section, that pullback map carries some geometric information, as it encodes some intersection multiplicity.

2.7 Towards logarithmic Gromov-Witten theory

With the goal of motivating logarithmic Gromov-Witten invariants, we briefly sketch its starting idea. The theory of logarithmic Gromov-Witten invariants was established by Gross-Siebert in [21], by Chen in [7] and by Abramovich-Chen in [1].

The reader familiar with stable curves will recognize the similarities they have in common with log smooth curves. Stable curves are locally either smooth or nodal, and are endowed with marked points. The main difference is that a log marked point comes along with a ghost sheaf stalk isomorphic to \mathbb{N} . This allows for much more flexibility when considering maps from smooth log curves. In one dimension lower, we saw in example 2.9 how mapping the log point to the plane corresponds (roughly) to a blow up of the plane and a choice of point on the exceptional divisor. In that example, the log map contained extra geometric information. Analogously, the log structure on a log smooth curve can be used to encode intersection multiplicities, as we explain now, by comparing log stable maps to relative stable maps.

Relative Gromov-Witten arise when the target variety X degenerates to a variety given by the union of two smooth varieties $Y_1 \cup_D Y_2$ glued along a smooth divisor D . In that situation, the degeneration formula applies. This formula, along with localization, is one of the most important tools in Gromov-Witten theory. Broadly speaking, the degeneration formula relates the Gromov-Witten invariants of X to sums of gluings of relative invariants of (Y_i, D) . In practice, choosing a suitable degeneration, one hopes to compute the Gromov-Witten invariants of X in terms of simpler to compute relative Gromov-Witten invariants.

The theory of relative Gromov-Witten invariants has two major disadvantages though. Firstly, it applies only when D is a smooth divisor, limiting the range of degenerations that can be considered. Secondly, the definition of the relevant moduli space, though elegant, is somewhat unnatural and technically complicated to deal with. Indeed, in order to obtain a compact moduli space, the target variety is allowed to degenerate. More concretely, consider the situation of a smooth variety X with smooth divisor D (the situation in which relative Gromov-Witten invariants are defined). Let $C \rightarrow X$ be a relative stable map and assume that C is not mapped into D . Then for each point of intersection of the image of C with D , there is a well-defined intersection multiplicity and the (non-compactified) moduli of relative stable maps is stratified according to the different intersection multiplicities. However, if a component of C degenerates into D problems arise. For one, the intersection multiplicities are no longer well-defined. The solution developed by Li in [34] is to allow the target to degenerate. If in the limit (a component of) the relative stable map limit is mapped into D , then D is replaced by a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle on it. The relative condition is then considered at the divisor at ∞ . This process is then repeated as necessary.

Logarithmic Gromov-Witten theory avoids these two shortcomings. Firstly, the divisorial log structure associated to D exists whether D is smooth or not. Secondly, the extra information carried by the log marked points is such that degenerations of the target variety are not needed. A marked point has a ghost sheaf of \mathbb{N} on top of it. With the divisorial log structure, a point on the divisor has a ghost sheaf of \mathbb{N} (in

the case of D smooth). The log map determines a map between these two copies of \mathbb{N} . This map is the multiplication by a positive integer, which is the intersection multiplicity. When a component of the curve degenerates into D then, the map on the ghost sheaves keeps track of the intersection multiplicity, which thus remains well-defined.

This is just a brief glimpse as to why log Gromov-Witten invariants are a suitable generalization of relative Gromov-Witten invariants. On one hand, they are simpler to work with. On the other hand, they allow for much more general degenerations.

3 Tropical geometry

Tropical geometry can be roughly understood as a “piecewise-linear” version of algebraic geometry. It has flourished over the past few decades, quickly establishing itself as an important combinatorial and conceptual tool in the study of enumerative geometry. The name “tropical” was coined to honor Imre Simon, who pioneered many of the field’s techniques. Mikhalkin’s demonstration of the equivalence of tropical and classical curve counting [38] was the inspiration for a number of results showing that a surprising amount of information can be naturally encoded in these piecewise-linear structures. We will begin this section with some background on the field’s connections to classical algebraic geometry and then proceed to rigorously define several tropical objects necessary in the following. The motivational remarks owe a great deal to Mikhalkin’s [37] and Gathmann’s [11] excellent expositions, while the the latter definitions can be found in [16].

3.1 Motivation

Throughout this chapter, tropical curves will manifest themselves as piecewise linear graphs in the plane. The relationship of these objects with classical algebraic curves in \mathbb{P}^2 or $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ will be explored in this section.

3.1.1 From amoebas to tropical curves

Given a variety $V \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$, one can examine the image under the map $\text{Log}_e : (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by

$$\text{Log}_e(z_1, \dots, z_n) := (-\log_e |z_1|, \dots, -\log_e |z_n|),$$

where $e = \ln(1)$. The set $\text{Log}_e(V) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is called the amoeba of V . Note that this construction is quite widely applicable, as all toric varieties contain a copy of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$.

Upon an examination the amoebas of curves in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ such as those in Figure 1, one quickly sees that they share certain features. One of these is the existence of “arms” heading off to infinity; it is the resemblance of these features to the amoeba’s pseudopods that earns these mathematical objects their name. The “fleshy” part of the picture can be considered extraneous, and one may wish to simplify the situation further, distilling the picture into the collection of piecewise linear components hinted at by the shape. It’s easy to see that one can roughly achieve this by zooming out on the graph until the pseudopods are very thin. Mathematically, this could be achieved by defining

$$\text{Log}_t(z_1, \dots, z_n) := (\log_t |z_1|, \dots, \log_t |z_n|)$$




Fig. 1: An approximation of the image of $C = \{(z_1, z_2) | e^1 z_1 + e^{-2} z_2 = 1\}$ under Log_e is given on the left, while its “spine” is given on the right.

and examining the amoeba given for very small t . This process is unsatisfactory, however, because it would move vertex of the resulting graph to the origin. A solution is found in replacing each coefficient a of the defining equations by $t^{\log_e a}$, thus defining a family of curves V_t in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$. Taking the limit as t goes to ∞ of $\text{Log}_t(V_t)$ gives us the piecewise linear graph we can intuitively see hiding in each of these amoebas. Although biologically confusing, this rigid structure is called the “spine” of the amoeba, and the spine pictured on the right in Figure 1 give us our first example of a tropical curve.

The Gross-Siebert program suggests that mirror symmetry can be exhibited by an exchange of “tropical” data on the shared base of a fibration. The process described above is analogous to that of passing to the large complex structure limit of a family of varieties, suggesting that tropical objects may reasonably be expected to encode mirror symmetric data.

Although our strategy of degenerating amoebas to their spines is effective, it is a bit cumbersome. A shortcut is suggested by our replacement of the coefficients $a \in \mathbb{C}$ by $t^{\log_e a}$. The field K of Puiseux series over \mathbb{C} is defined, roughly, to be the set of formal power series $\alpha = \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} c_n t^{k/n}$. Therefore, instead of thinking of a family of curves V_t , we can instead consider a single curve over $(K^*)^2$. How should we then interpret the map Log_t ?

Suppose we have an element $f := \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} c_n t^{k/n} \in K^*$ and $k_0 \neq 0$. For $0 < r < 1$, define $f(r) = \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} c_n r^{k/n}$. It’s then easy to see that $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \log_r f(r) = k_0/n$. This assignment of

$$\text{val} : \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} c_n t^{k/n} \mapsto k_0$$

has some nice properties. In fact, if we define $v(0) = \infty$ it’s easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{val}(a) &= \infty \text{ if and only if } a = 0 \\
\text{val}(ab) &= \text{val}(a) + \text{val}(b) \\
\text{val}(a+b) &\geq \min\{\text{val}(a), \text{val}(b)\}
\end{aligned}$$

which makes val into something known as a *non-Archimedean valuation*. These properties will come into play shortly. Continuing our intuitive construction, we should feel justified in making the following definition.

Definition 3.1. Let $V \subset (K^*)^n$ be an algebraic variety. Define the tropicalization V_{trop} of V by

$$V_{trop} := \overline{\text{Val}(V)},$$

where $\text{Val}(k_1, \dots, k_n) := (\text{val}(k_1), \dots, \text{val}(k_n))$.

3.1.2 The min-plus semiring and tropical varieties

Because we wish to study the “tropical” image of our varieties, we define an arithmetic on \mathbb{R} corresponding to the non-Archimedean valuation.

Definition 3.2. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Define:

$$a \oplus b = \min(a, b) \tag{4}$$

$$a \odot b = a + b \tag{5}$$

where $+$ is standard addition on \mathbb{R} .

Note that multiplicative inverses are given by subtraction, while there is no additive inverse. The rough idea is that algebraic geometry in \mathbb{R}^n with the min-plus arithmetic should have a correspondence to the tropicalization of algebraic geometry in $(K^*)^n$.

Suppose we have a polynomial

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{i \in S} a_i x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n}$$

with $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ a finite set, $i := (i_1, \dots, i_n)$, and $a_i \in K^*$. The equation $p = 0$ defines a variety V in $(K^*)^n$, and thus defines a tropical curve V_{trop} . Is there a way to recover V_{trop} without passing through $(K^*)^n$? Consider the tropical version of the above polynomial

$$p_{trop}(z_1, \dots, z_n) := \sum_{i \in S} \text{Val}(a_i) \odot z_1^{i_1} \odot \cdots \odot z_n^{i_n} \tag{6}$$

$$= \min(\text{Val}(a_i) + i_1 z_1 + \dots + i_n z_n \mid i \in S), \tag{7}$$

where the sum in Equation 6 is \oplus and the z_i are the standard coordinates on \mathbb{R}^n . Note that p_{trop} defines a piecewise linear map $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Suppose $p(r_1, \dots, r_n) = 0$ for $r_i \in K^*$. This means $\sum_{i \in S} a_i r_1^{i_1} \cdots r_n^{i_n} = 0$.

Define $m_i = \text{val}(a_i r_1^{i_1} \cdots r_n^{i_n})$, and let $l = \min(m_i)$. The coefficient of t^q in $p(r_1, \dots, r_n)$ must be zero for all values of $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, and thus $m_i = l$ for at least two values of $i \in S$.

Let the set of such $i \in S$ be given by $M \subseteq S$. If we reinterpret this condition in terms of p_{trop} , we see that

$$p_{trop}(\text{val}(r_1), \dots, \text{val}(r_n)) = \min(\text{val}(a_i) + i_1 z_1 + \dots + i_n z_n \mid i \in S) \quad (8)$$

$$= \text{val}(a_m) + m_1 \text{val}(r_1) + \dots + m_n \text{val}(r_n) \quad (9)$$

for any $m \in M$. In particular, the minimum is simultaneously achieved by at least two monomials at $(\text{val}(r_1), \dots, \text{val}(r_n))$. Therefore, V_{trop} must be contained in the locus of the non-smooth pieces of the function defined by p_{trop} . This motivates an alternate viewpoint of tropical curves as the so-called “corner locus” of the piecewise linear functions defined by polynomials using the min-plus arithmetic. Such objects are significantly easier to handle and have very nice combinatorial properties that allow further abstraction.

We can think of $S \subseteq N$ and write

$$p_{trop}(m) = \min(\text{val}(a_i) + \langle n, m \rangle \text{ for } n \in S)$$

as a function from $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ to \mathbb{R} .

Definition 3.3. Let $f(z) = \sum_{n \in S} a_n z^n = \min\{a_n + \langle n, z \rangle \text{ for } n \in S\}$. As a set, we define the tropical hypersurface $V(f)$ associated to f to be the set in M defined by the corner locus of f .

Thinking of $V(f)$ as a union of codimension one polyhedra of M , we associate a weight $w(e)$ to each polyhedron e . This is a measure of the severity of the bend that occurs at e , and is defined to be the index of $n - n'$ in N , where n' and n define the behavior of f on either side of e . One important implication of the geometry behind this definition is the *balancing condition*. If $\dim M_{\mathbb{R}} = 2$ so $V(f)$ is a piecewise linear graph in \mathbb{R}^2 , we can formulate it in the following way. Let τ be a vertex of $V(f)$ and e_1, \dots, e_n be edges connected to τ and $p_1, \dots, p_n \in M$ be primitive vectors such that p_i points away from τ in the direction of e_i . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i w(e_i) = 0 \in M.$$

This condition puts strong constraints on the nature of $V(f)$.

Let's reexamine our example in this context. The polynomial defining the amoeba in Figure 1 has the following counterpart in $K[x_1, x_2]$:

$$p(x_1, x_2) = t^{-1}x_1 + t^2x_2 - 1$$

The tropicalized version is given by

$$p_{trop}(z_1, z_2) = -1 \odot z_1 \oplus 2 \odot z_2 \oplus 0$$

and its graph is illustrated in Figure 2.




Fig. 2: On the left, a graph of $p_{trop}(z_1, z_2)$. The diagram on the right indicates the monomial that determines the behavior of $p_{trop}(z_1, z_2)$ in each of the regions demarcated by the corner locus of the graph. The weights of the edges of $V(p_{trop})$ are also indicated.

3.2 Combinatorial objects

For much of what follows, it is useful to abstract the definition of the tropical curve to a combinatorial formulation satisfying the properties explored above.

3.2.1 Marked tropical curves

For our purposes, it's most convenient to deal with strictly combinatorial objects incorporating the features we've discussed above. Let $\bar{\Gamma}$ be the topological realization of a graph with no bivalent vertices. Let $\Gamma^{[1]}$ be the set of edges, $\Gamma^{[0]}$ the set of vertices. Define Γ to be $\bar{\Gamma}$ without its univalent vertices. Note that Γ generally will have non-compact edges, which we gather into a set $\Gamma_\infty^{[1]}$. Assign a weight function $w : \Gamma^{[1]} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $w(\Gamma_\infty^{[1]}) \subseteq \{0, 1\}$ and $w^{-1}(0) \subseteq \Gamma_\infty^{[1]}$. Assign a label x_i to each of the weight 0 edges using an inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} &\hookrightarrow \Gamma_\infty^{[1]} \\ x_i &\mapsto E_{x_i} \end{aligned}$$

The data $(\Gamma, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ constitutes a *marked graph*. A marked graph can be given a geometric manifestation using the following definition.

Definition 3.4 (Marked parametrized tropical curve). A *marked parametrized tropical curve* [MPTC] is a continuous map $h : (\Gamma, x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfying:

- If $E \in \Gamma_\infty^{[1]}$ and $w(E) = 0$, then $h|_E$ is constant. That is, h collapses labeled edges. On other edges, $h|_E$ is a proper embedding of E into a line of rational slope in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$.
- Let V be a vertex of Γ , and E_1, \dots, E_m be the edges adjacent to V . Let $v(E_i)$ be a primitive vector pointing away from $h(V)$ along the direction of $h(E_i)$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m w(E_i) v(E_i) = 0.$$

In the following, we will conflate a collapsed edge with its label. That is, if

$$h : (\Gamma, x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$$

is a marked parametrized tropical curve, we write $h(x_i) = h(E_{x_i})$.

We say that two parametrized tropical curves $h : (\Gamma, x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $h' : (\Gamma', x'_1, \dots, x'_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ are *equivalent* if there is a homeomorphism $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ with $\phi(E_{x_i}) = E_{x'_i}$ for each i and $h = h' \circ \phi$. We can then define a *marked tropical curve* to be an equivalence class of parametrized marked tropical curves.

We say a marked tropical curve h is *in* X_Σ if, for each unmarked unbounded edge $E \in \Gamma_\infty^{[1]}$, $h(E)$ is a translate of some $\rho \in \Sigma^{[1]}$. In this case we can define its degree.

Definition 3.5 (Degree of a marked tropical curve). If h is a marked tropical curve in X_Σ , the *degree* of h , notated $\Delta(h)$, is defined to be

$$\Delta(h) := \sum_{\rho \in \Sigma^{[1]}} d_\rho v_\rho \in T_\Sigma$$

where d_ρ is the number of unbounded edges of Γ that are mapped to translates of ρ by h and T_Σ is as defined in Section 1.3.

An unbounded edge of a tropical curve mapping in the direction of a ray $\rho \in \Sigma$ corresponds to an intersection of the corresponding classical curve with the toric divisor defined by ρ , justifying this naming convention.

Definition 3.6 (Genus of a marked tropical curve). If h is a marked tropical curve in X_Σ , the *genus* of h is defined by

$$g(h) := b_1(\Gamma)$$

.

As an exercise, convince yourself that $r(\Delta(h)) = 0$ for any marked tropical curve. Given $\Delta(h) = \sum_{\rho \in \Sigma^{[1]}} d_\rho v_\rho \in T_\Sigma$, we define $|\Delta(h)| := \sum_{\rho \in \Sigma^{[1]}} d_\rho$.

In order to use tropical curves for enumerative problems one must count them with a weighting known as the *Mikhalkin multiplicity*. See Section 5 for more on this.




Fig. 3: On the left, the graph Γ underlying a marked parametrized tropical curve h in X_Σ . On the right, the image of Γ under h with E_{x_i} mapping to P_i in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$. The dotted edges are of weight 0, collapsed by h . The genus of h is 1, and the degree of h is $3t_{p_0} + 3t_{p_1} + 3t_{p_2}$. Note that there are an infinite number of inequivalent choices of maps h given these particular choices of Γ , images of E_{x_i} in the plane, and directions for the images of the unbounded edges of Γ . That is, the image can be deformed while preserving these properties.

Definition 3.7 ($\text{Mult}(h)$). Let $h : \Gamma \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$ ($\dim M_{\mathbb{R}} = 2$) be a trivalent marked tropical curve with no edges mapped on top of one another and weight one for all unbounded, unmarked edges. For $V \in \Gamma^{[0]}$ with adjacent edges E_1, E_2 , and E_3 , define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mult}_V(h) &:= w_1 w_2 |m_1 \wedge m_2| \\ &= w_2 w_3 |m_2 \wedge m_3| \\ &= w_3 w_1 |m_3 \wedge m_1| \end{aligned}$$

if none of the E_i are marked, and $\text{Mult}_V(h) = 1$ otherwise. Here w_i is the weight of E_i and m_i is a primitive (coprime entries) vector in M pointing away from V along the edge E_i . Here we identify $M \wedge M$ with \mathbb{Z} and sign ambiguity is absorbed by the absolute value. Note that the equivalence of the statements is due to the balancing condition. Then we define

$$\text{Mult}(h) := \prod_{V \in \Gamma^{[0]}} \text{Mult}_V(h). \quad (10)$$

Fig. 4 The image of a marked, parametrized tropical curve, h . Assume the outgoing edges are weight 1, pointing in the directions $(1, 1)$, $(1, -1)$, $(-1, 1)$, and $(-1, -1)$. As an exercise, compute the Mikhalkin multiplicity of h .




Fig. 5: The images of two tropical disks in $(X_\Sigma, P_1, \dots, P_5)$ with boundary Q .




Fig. 6: The images of two more tropical disks in $(X_\Sigma, P_1, \dots, P_5)$ with boundary Q .

3.2.2 Tropical disks and trees

In order to discuss the mirror symmetry relationship for \mathbb{P}^2 , we'll need two objects which are closely related to tropical curves: tropical disks and trees. Intuitively, tropical disks are fragments of a tropical curve broken at a vertex and are the tropical analogue of holomorphic disks, while tropical trees are tropical disks with the truncated edge extended to infinity.

More formally, let $\bar{\Gamma}$ be a weighted, connected finite graph without bivalent vertices, with the additional choice of a univalent vertex V_{out} adjacent to a unique edge E_{out} . Let




Fig. 7: Maslov index 0 tropical trees in $(X_\Sigma, P_1, \dots, P_5)$. The dashed edges are the distinguished outgoing edge. Note that in the case of the tropical tree on the right, we could have selected any of the outgoing edges as the distinguished one.

$$\Gamma' := (\overline{\Gamma} \setminus \overline{\Gamma}_\infty^{[0]}) \cup \{V_{out}\} \subseteq \overline{\Gamma}.$$

Suppose that Γ' is a tree with one compact external edge and a number of non-compact external edges. Then a *parametrized d -pointed tropical disk* in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ with domain Γ' is:

- A choice of inclusion $\{p_1, \dots, p_d\} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_\infty^{[1]} \setminus \{E_{out}\}$, written $p_i \rightarrow E_{p_i}$.
- A weight function $w : \Gamma'^{[1]} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $w(E) = 0$ if and only if $E = E_{p_i}$ for some i and $w(E) = 1$ for all other edges in $\Gamma_\infty^{[1]}$.
- A continuous map $h : \Gamma' \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfying the conditions for tropical curves, except that there is no balancing condition at the univalent vertex V_{out} .

An isomorphism of parametrized tropical disks between $h_1 : (\Gamma'_1, p_1, \dots, p_d) \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $h_2 : (\Gamma'_2, p_1, \dots, p_d) \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a homeomorphism $\Phi : \Gamma'_1 \rightarrow \Gamma'_2$ respecting marked edges and weights, such that $h_1 = h_2 \circ \Phi$. Just as with marked tropical curves, we refer to an equivalence class of parametrized marked tropical disks as a *marked tropical disk*.

Definition 3.8 (Tropical disks in $(X_\Sigma, P_1, \dots, P_k)$ with boundary Q). A tropical disk in $(X_\Sigma, P_1, \dots, P_k)$ with boundary Q is a d -pointed tropical disk $h : (\Gamma, p_1, \dots, p_d) \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$ with $h(p_j) = P_{i_j}$ for some $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_d \leq k$, $h(V_{out}) = Q$, and $h(E)$ is a translate of some $\rho \in \Sigma^{[1]}$ for each $E \in \Gamma_\infty^{[1]}$ with $w(E) = 1$.


Multiplicity and degree can be defined for tropical disks as they were defined for tropical curves, neglecting the univalent vertex. Continuing the analogy with holomorphic disks, given a d -pointed tropical disk h , we define its *Maslov index* as

$$MI(h) := 2(|\Delta(h)| - d).$$

There is a related tropical object of some importance, the *tropical tree*. Tropical trees are simply tropical disks where the outgoing edge E_{out} is extended into unbounded

edge. The degree, multiplicity, and Maslov index are computed in the same way as was done with tropical disks, in each case ignoring the distinguished unbounded edge. Tropical trees are important in this particular story because a Maslov index 2 tropical disk with boundary Q can be decomposed as a “stem” with truncated Maslov index 0 tropical trees sprouting out from it. This idea is the key to the relevance of so-called “scattering diagrams” to the B-model of \mathbb{P}^2 . See Figure 8.

Fig. 8 “Stems” of Maslov index 2 tropical disks with boundary Q along with the outgoing edges of their attached Maslov index 0 trees. Find the Maslov index two tropical disks in Figures 5 and 6 corresponding to these stems.



4 Tropical curve counting

4.1 Moduli spaces of tropical curves

Definition 4.1. Given an element $\Delta \in T_\Sigma$, define

$$\mathcal{M}_{g,k}(\Sigma, \Delta) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{marked tropical curves in } X_\Sigma \text{ of genus } g \\ \text{and degree } \Delta \text{ with } k \text{ markings} \end{array} \right\}$$


Furthermore if $\Delta = \sum_\rho d_\rho \rho$ we set

$$|\Delta| = \sum_\rho d_\rho \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Example 4.2. 1. Let Σ be the fan of \mathbb{P}^2 , so $M \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$. The rays are generated by $\rho_1 = (1, 0)$, $\rho_2 = (0, 1)$ and $\rho_3 = (-1, -1)$. Let $\Delta = \rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3$ then $\mathcal{M}_{0,0}(\Sigma, \Delta) = M_\mathbb{R}$ as the map h is uniquely determined by where the trivalent vertex of Γ goes and there is no restriction on where to map it. In fact, in general for any Σ, Δ we have that $M_\mathbb{R}$ acts freely on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\Sigma, \Delta)$ by translation.

2. Let Σ be the fan of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. The rays are generated by $\rho_1 = (1, 0)$, $\rho_2 = (-1, 0)$, $\rho_3 = (0, 1)$ and $\rho_4 = (0, -1)$. Set $\Delta = 2\rho_1 + 2\rho_2 + 2\rho_3 + 2\rho_4$. Consider the tropical curve on the left in Fig 1 (the graph Γ is determined from the image of h for given P_i). Let us fix the combinatorial type of h , i.e. the weighted graph Γ and the rational slopes of the edges of the image of h and let $\mathcal{M}_{1,0}^{[h]}(\Sigma, \Delta)$ denote the subset of $\mathcal{M}_{1,0}(\Sigma, \Delta)$ of MPTCs of combinatorial type h . Up to translation, a curve in $\mathcal{M}_{1,0}^{[h]}(\Sigma, \Delta)$ is uniquely determined by the length of its compact edges of which there are 8. However the lengths cannot vary freely because their union needs to be a closed cycle. This imposes two conditions, one for each coordinate of $M_\mathbb{R}$. Let $I = \{(1, 1), (1, 0), (1, -1), (0, -1), (-1, -1), (-1, 0), (-1, 1), (0, 1)\}$ be the set of directions of the bounded edges. We then find that the set $\mathcal{M}_{1,0}(\Sigma, \Delta)$ can be identified with

Fig. 1 A tropical line in \mathbb{P}^2 is uniquely determined by where its vertex is (right hand side). For the tropical curve of bi-degree $(2, 2)$ in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ of the combinatorial type shown on the left, there are, up to translation, 6 further moduli by varying the lengths of the 8 bounded edges.



$$M_{\mathbb{R}} \times \left\{ \phi \in \text{Map}(I, \mathbb{R}_{>0}) \mid 0 = \sum_{v \in I} \phi(v)v \right\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{M}_{1,0}(\Sigma, \Delta)$ is 8-dimensional. This coincides with the (complex) dimension of the parameter-space of algebraic curves of bi-degree $(2, 2)$ in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ (these are elliptic curves). This is no coincidence as we will see later.

Lemma 4.3. *When $\dim M_{\mathbb{R}} = 2$, the set of combinatorial types of tropical curves in X_{Σ} of fixed genus, markings and degree is finite.*

Proof. It suffices to show that the set of combinatorial types of unmarked curves is finite as there is only a finite set of choices for placing the markings. Given one such curve h , one can construct a piecewise linear convex function $M_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose locus of non-linearity coincides with h . The bending at an edge $h(E)$ is $w(E)$ and the balancing condition guarantees that this gives a globally compatible function. This function thus determines a Newton polytope in the dual space of $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ together with a triangulation. This is in fact a lattice polytope, so the set of lattice triangulations is finite. Furthermore, the Newton polytope only depends on the degree of h , so the set of combinatorial types of unmarked curves is identified with the set of triangulations of the Newton polytope and this is known to be finite.

A priori $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}(\Sigma, \Delta)$ is merely a set. However, the natural identifications in the following proposition furnish $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}(\Sigma, \Delta)$ with a piecewise linear structure. Given $h \in \mathcal{M}_{g,k}(\Sigma, \Delta)$, let $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}^{[h]}(\Sigma, \Delta)$ denote the subset of $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}(\Sigma, \Delta)$ of all MPTC with the same *combinatorial type* as h , i.e. the same weighted graph Γ and the same rational slopes of $h(E)$ for each edge $E \subset \Gamma$ with $h(E) \neq 0$.

Proposition 4.4 (shape of $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}$).

1. $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}(\Sigma, \Delta) = \coprod_h \mathcal{M}_{g,k}^{[h]}(\Sigma, \Delta)$ where the disjoint union is over all combinatorial types.
2. $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}^{[h]}(\Sigma, \Delta)$ is naturally identified with the interior of a polyhedron.

Proof. The first statement is a tautology. The proof of second works along the lines of Example 4.2-2., i.e. let I denote the set of slope vectors of the bounded edges of $h(\Gamma)$. Up to translations by elements of $M_{\mathbb{R}}$, we identify $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}(\Sigma, \Delta)$ with the subset of $\text{Map}(I, \mathbb{R}_{>0})$ cut out by m linear equations, one for each cycle in Γ .

More can be said when we restrict to genus zero curves. Set $\Gamma^{[0]} = \{V \in \Gamma \text{ is a vertex}\}$. Since univalent vertices were removed and there are no bivalent vertices in Γ each vertex of Γ has valency at least three. We define the overvalency of Γ by

$$\text{ov}(\Gamma) = \sum_{V \in \Gamma \text{ is a vertex}} \text{valency}(V) - 3.$$

It vanishes if and only if each vertex of Γ has valency three.

Definition 4.5. A marked parametrized tropical curve h is called *simple* if h is injective on vertices, unmarked unbounded edges have weight one and each vertex has non-zero multiplicity (in particular the overvalence vanishes).

Proposition 4.6 (shape of $\mathcal{M}_{0,k}(\Sigma, \Delta)$).

1. $\mathcal{M}_{0,k}^{[h]}(\Sigma, \Delta) \cong M_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{e+k-3-\text{ov}(\Gamma)}$ where e is the number of unbounded unmarked edges of Γ .
2. Assume now $n = 2$, i.e. $M_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$. Given $P_1, \dots, P_{|\Delta|-1} \in M_{\mathbb{R}}$ in general position, we have that

$$\{h \in \mathcal{M}_{0,|\Delta|-1}(\Sigma, \Delta) \mid h(x_i) = P_i\}$$

is a finite set of simple curves of different combinatorial types.

Proof. By the proof of part 2. of Prop. 4.4, we need to show that the number of bounded edges coincides with $e + k - 3 - \text{ov}(\Gamma)$. Set $\Gamma^{[0]} = \{V \in \Gamma \mid V \text{ is a vertex}\}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 3|\Gamma^{[0]}| + \text{ov}(\Gamma) &= \sum_{V \in \Gamma^{[0]}} \text{valency}(V) \\ &= 2 \cdot (\text{number of bounded edges}) + (\text{number of unbounded edges}) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

On the other hand for the Euler characteristic of Γ we find

$$1 - g = \chi(\Gamma) = |\Gamma^{[0]}| - (\text{number of bounded edges}). \quad (12)$$

Eliminating $|\Gamma^{[0]}|$ together with noting that $e + k$ is the number of unbounded edges yields

$$\text{number of bounded edges} = e + k + 3g - 3 - \text{ov}(\Gamma).$$

Inserting $g = 0$ gives the first assertion. To prove the second assertion, note that each point imposes a 2-dimensional condition and all conditions are independent by the general position assumption. For $\mathcal{M}_{0,k}$ to be non-empty, by a dimension count via the first assertion and $k = |\Delta| - 1$, we need to have

$$2 + e + |\Delta| - 4 - \text{ov}(\Gamma) - 2(|\Delta| - 1) \geq 0.$$

Note that $e \leq |\Delta|$, so the inequality holds if and only if it is an equality and $\text{ov}(\Gamma) = 0$ and $e = |\Delta|$. In this case, h is trivalent with all unbounded edges of weight one. By the general position assumption, h is injective on vertices and if there was a vertex of multiplicity zero, all attached edges would be collinear and so one could move this vertex contradicting zero-dimensionality of the set of solutions. Thus, every curve is simple. They are of different types by part 1 of Prop. 4.4. The finiteness of the set of combinatorial types is Lemma 4.3.

In analogy to usual Gromov-Witten invariants, we may define the evaluation map

$$\text{ev} : \mathcal{M}_{g,k}^{[h]}(\Sigma, \Delta) \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}^k, \quad h \mapsto (h(x_1), \dots, h(x_k))$$

which is in fact an affine linear map: it maps a set of polyhedra affine linearly to a vector space. The set of curves going through a set of points P_1, \dots, P_k is then

$$\mathrm{ev}^{-1}(P_1, \dots, P_k) = \{h \in \mathcal{M}_{g,k}(\Sigma, \Delta) \mid h(x_i) = P_i\}$$

By the previous proposition, this set is finite for $k = |\Delta| - 1$, $g = 0$ and one may wonder how its size changes if one varies P_1, \dots, P_k . If one counts weighted by the multiplicity of the combinatorial type, we will see later that the count is independent of the position of the points as long as the points are in general position. This means that if we take a path from one positioning of the P_i to another positioning and at some point along the path one combinatorial type ceases to have a solution for the given points, another combinatorial type takes over! Assuming this result, the following definition is well-defined (independent of the P_i).

Definition 4.7. We define the number of rational tropical curves of degree Δ in X_Σ as

$$N_{\Delta, \Sigma}^{0, \mathrm{trop}} = \sum_{\substack{h \in \mathcal{M}_{0, |\Delta|-1}(\Sigma, \Delta) \\ h(x_i) = P_i}} \mathrm{Mult}(h)$$

Definition 4.8. Similarly and classically, we define the number of rational holomorphic curves of degree Δ in X_Σ as

$$N_{\Delta, \Sigma}^{0, \mathrm{hol}} = \left| \left\{ f \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,k}(X_\Sigma, \Delta) \mid \begin{array}{l} f : (C, x_1, \dots, x_k) \rightarrow X_\Sigma \text{ is a torically transverse} \\ \text{algebraic curve with } f(x_i) = Q_i \end{array} \right\} \right|$$

where $k = |\Delta| - 1$ and Q_1, \dots, Q_k are points in general position in X_Σ .

The following result in particular gives the well-definedness of $N_{\Delta, \Sigma}^{0, \mathrm{trop}}$.

Theorem 4.9. *If $\dim M_{\mathbb{R}} = 2$ and $g = 0$ then*

$$N_{\Delta, \Sigma}^{g, \mathrm{hol}} = N_{\Delta, \Sigma}^{g, \mathrm{trop}}$$

The theorem is the overlap of a result by Mikhalkin who proved the statement for any genus g when $\dim M_{\mathbb{R}} = 2$ and Siebert-Nishinou [41] who prove it for $g = 0$ in any dimension.

4.2 Finding all rational tropical curves through eight points in the plane

We want to discuss in this section an extended example elucidating Thm. 4.9. It is a famous fact that there are precisely 12 rational curves of degree three going through 8 generically placed points in the projective plane. Dropping rationality, there is a one-parameter family of degree three curves going through 8 points. The general member of this pencil is an elliptic curve but 12 members are rational nodal curves. So if $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ is the fan of \mathbb{P}^2 and we fix the degree as $\Delta_3 = 3\omega_1 + 3\omega_2 + 3\omega_3$ for ω_i the generators of the rays in the fan, then we have classically

Fig. 2 Fan of the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 in three points and the Newton polytope of its anti-canonical divisor.





Fig. 3 A tropical elliptic curve in X_Σ of degree Δ containing five given points and the subdivision of the Newton polytope corresponding to its combinatorial type.




$$N_{\Delta_3, \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^2}}^{0, \text{hol}} = 12$$

and by Thm. 4.9 we expect to find also 12 tropical genus zero curves (counted with multiplicity) through 8 general points in \mathbb{R}^2 . We reduce the complexity of the problem by a slight modification. Pick any three of the eight points and consider the toric structure on \mathbb{P}^2 where the open torus is the complement of the three lines going through pairs out of the three points. The blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 in the three points can be realized torically, i.e. there is a subdivision Σ of the fan $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ where each of the three maximal cones is subdivided into two standard cones and the toric variety corresponding to the subdivision is the blow-up $X_\Sigma = \text{Bl}_{3\text{pt}} \mathbb{P}^2$. The resulting fan is shown in Fig. 2. It is the normal fan to a hexagon (in the dual space) depicted on the right. The anti-canonical degree of X_Σ is

$$\Delta = \rho_1 + \dots + \rho_6$$

where the ρ_i denote the six generators of the rays in Σ . The combinatorial problem is now to find all tropical genus zero curves through five general points in \mathbb{R}^2 of degree Δ . Given any 5 points, just by inspection it is quite hard to come up with just a single such tropical curve. It is easier though to find a genus one curve through these points as such tropical curves come in a one-parameter family just as their holomorphic analogues. Fig. 3 depicts such a tropical genus one curve. The degree of freedom can be seen by the fact that the upper left branch is free to move out diagonally to the upper left. There is actually a tropical version of the pencil of elliptic curves as the set of tropical genus one curves going through the five points. We are going to construct it in the following.

Fig. 4 Pencil of tropical anti-canonical curves containing 5 general points in a del Pezzo surface of degree 6 (blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 in three points). The rational nodal curves in this pencil are marked by a star. The large star is a genus zero curve of multiplicity four so that the sum of all rational curves with multiplicities adds up to 12. The labels of the edges of the pencil refer to the labelling of the steps in the construction of family of tropical curves in Fig. 5, Fig. 6



4.2.1 A tropical pencil of elliptic curves

A side effect of the construction of the pencil is going to be that we also obtain all rational curves going through the five points as those are members of the pencil, so we will find them on the way. Note that a tropical curve of degree Δ is uniquely determined (up to adding a constant) by the piecewise linear convex function $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose locus of non-linearity is the tropical curve. Any such function has the following shape

$$\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad v \mapsto \max \{ \langle v, m \rangle + a_m \mid m \text{ is a lattice points in the Newton polytope} \}$$

for some coefficients $a_m \in \mathbb{R}$. As there are seven coefficients, all piecewise linear convex functions naturally give a convex subset in \mathbb{R}^7 . Requiring that the locus of non-linearity of such a function contains a certain point imposes a one-dimensional condition on the function, so by the general positioning of the five points, we expect that there is a two-dimensional subset of \mathbb{R}^7 that gives the pencil. There is one excess dimension over the set of tropical curves as a function ϕ gives the same tropical curve as $\phi + a$ for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, so we could instead work in $\mathbb{R}^7 / \mathbb{R}(1, \dots, 1) \cong \mathbb{R}^6$ to obtain the pencil as a piecewise linear one-dimensional subset. We will see that this subset in our example has the shape depicted in Fig. 4.

Indeed, the movable upper left branch of our tropical elliptic curve of Fig. 3 moves as shown in picture (a) of Fig. 5. It accommodates a nodal rational curve that shows as a tropical curve with a four-valent vertex. In fact as a marked parametrized tropical curve, the four-valent point is not actually a vertex, i.e. it is not the image of

a vertex of the graph under the immersion h . The nodal curve is indicated by a star in Fig. 4. Moving past the nodal curve, our elliptic tropical curve eventually attains the property that one of its vertices coincides with one of the 5 fixed points. At this stage we have swept through the upper left section of \mathbb{R}^2 with tropical curves parametrized by the branch of the pencil in Fig. 4 marked by (a) and we reached a vertex of the pencil. From the vertex there are two directions to move on in the pencil corresponding to the two regions next to the marked point in the complement of the vertex-curve. In step (b), we move into the region to the upper right where we find another nodal curve. We carry on like this moving through further edges of the pencil. The steps (a)-(f) are depicted in Fig 5, the steps (g)-(k) are depicted in Fig 6.




Fig. 5: The pencil of elliptic curves sweeps the plane. Whenever a marked points becomes a vertex of the tropical curve, there are two possibilities to move on in the pencil leading to the various branches in Fig. 4. We depict here the tropical curves of the the first 6 edges in the pencil




Fig. 6: Complementing Fig. 5, we depict the tropical elliptic curves for the remaining edges in the pencil. Picture (l) shows the union of all rational curves in the pencil

The last step (k) in which the tropical curves sweep the central region is somewhat special: it gives the edge of the pencil with a univalent vertex. Not only does this edge contain two nodal curves in its interior, furthermore, the univalent vertex is also a rational curve of multiplicity four as it has two vertices each of multiplicity two. In total, we have found 8 nodal curves of multiplicity one and another rational curve of multiplicity 4 adding up to the expected count:

$$N_{\Delta, \Sigma}^{0, \text{trop}} = 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 4 = 12.$$

Finally picture (l) in Fig 6 shows the union of all rational curves which gives a polyhedral subdivision of \mathbb{R}^2 in which the fixed points are vertices.

4.2.2 Is it possible to find twelve tropical curves of multiplicity one?

One may wonder whether it is necessary to have a tropical curve of higher multiplicity in the pencil or whether there exists a configuration of 12 multiplicity one curves going through some other positioning of the 5 fixed points. From the experience of our construction of the pencil, one might get the impression that no matter where we place the 5 points there should always be some region in the middle (in the cycle that gives the genus of the elliptic curve) that needs to be swept by the pencil leading to a univalent vertex of the pencil. This vertex is necessarily not an elliptic curve and most likely of higher multiplicity. While this is a hand-waving argument, there is a rigorous proof for the non-existence of a configuration of 12 curves that has been known to real tropical geometers like Ilia Itenberg and Grigory Mikhalkin. It makes use of the Welschinger invariant. Recall the definition of the Mikhalkin multiplicity from Def. 3.7. We take from [38, Def. 7.19] the following.

Definition 4.10 (Welschinger multiplicity). Let $h : (\Gamma, x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a simple marked parametrized tropical curve with $\dim M_{\mathbb{R}} = 2$. For $V \in \Gamma$ a vertex, we define

$$\text{Mult}_V^{\mathbb{R}, W}(h) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\frac{\text{Mult}_V(h)-1}{2}} & \text{if } \text{Mult}_V(h) \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\text{Mult}^{\mathbb{R}, W}(h) := \prod_{V \in \Gamma^{(0)}} \text{Mult}_V^{\mathbb{R}, W}(h).$$

Definition 4.11 (Tropical Welschinger invariant). Let Δ be a degree for a smooth toric surface Σ , in particular $\dim M_{\mathbb{R}} = 2$. Set $k = |\Delta| - 1$ and let $P_1, \dots, P_k \in M_{\mathbb{R}}$ points in general position. We define the tropical Welschinger invariant

$$W^{\text{trop}}(\Sigma, \Delta, P_1, \dots, P_k) = \sum_h \text{Mult}^{\mathbb{R}, W}(h)$$

where the sum is over all rational tropical curves of degree Δ meeting the P_i , i.e. over

$$\{h \in \mathcal{M}_{0,k}(\Sigma, \Delta) \mid h(x_i) = P_i\}.$$

The tropical Welschinger invariant draws its significance from the following theorem.

Theorem 4.12. (Mikhalkin [38, Thm. 6], Welschinger [47], cf. [44, Thm. 3.1]) *Assume the setup of Def. 4.11. The number $W^{\text{trop}}(\Sigma, \Delta) = W^{\text{trop}}(\Sigma, \Delta, P_1, \dots, P_k)$ is independent of the position of P_1, \dots, P_k and gives a lower bound on the number of real curves of degree Δ passing through k real points in the corresponding toric surface over \mathbb{R} .*

Most interesting for us is the property of the Welschinger invariant to be independent of the position of the points. Let us apply this to the toric del Pezzo of degree 6 that we studied in the previous sections. We can readily compute the Welschinger invariant from our findings of rational curves via Def. 4.10 and it yields

$$W^{\text{trop}}(\Sigma, \Delta) = 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 0 = 8.$$

If there was another configuration of the 5 points for which we had 12 rational tropical curves of multiplicity one going through them, the calculation for the Welschinger invariant would read

$$W^{\text{trop}}(\Sigma, \Delta) = 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 = 12$$

however this would lead to a contradiction to the previous calculation as the invariant doesn't depend on the configuration of points we choose to compute it from. Knowing now that 12 curves are impossible, we can ask which other findings of curves would give the correct Welschinger invariant of 8.

- Exercise 4.13.** 1. By going through the possible regular triangulations of the Newton polytope, check that rational tropical curves of degree Δ can have Mikhalkin multiplicity 1, 3, 4. (Note that there is a triangulation featuring only one area two triangle but this triangulation is not regular.)
2. Check that we have the following table on contributions of a rational tropical curve to the invariants.

Multiplicity (i.e. contribution to $N_{\Delta, \Sigma}^{0, \text{trop}}$)	1	3	4
contribution to $W^{\text{trop}}(\Sigma, \Delta)$	1	-1	0

3. Deduce that the conditions $N^{\text{trop}}(\Delta, \Sigma) = 12$ and $W^{\text{trop}}(\Sigma, \Delta) = 8$ allow for exactly one further possible configuration of rational tropical curves through 5 points. It features 10 curves and the multiplicities are respectively

$$1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 3.$$

4. Verify the existence of this configuration by using the tropical pencil construction of the previous section: Start with the multiplicity three curve as the univalent vertex of the pencil and start sweeping from there.

5 From tropical curves to algebraic curves and back

We are going to sketch the proof of Theorem 4.9. This will be similar to the exposition in [16], while the original is [41]. The proof is a matching of the following sets

$$\{\text{tropical curves}\} \xleftrightarrow{1:\text{Mult}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{torically transverse} \\ \text{log stable curves} \end{array} \right\} \xleftrightarrow{1:1} \{\text{torically transverse curves}\}$$

and thus involves four steps constructing the maps in each direction. The main tool is a toric degeneration.

5.1 Toric degenerations compatible with tropical curves

Let X_Σ be a smooth toric surface given by a fan Σ in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$. This is the surface that we want to count rational curves in. Let $\Delta \in T_\Sigma$ be a given degree, $s := |\Delta| - 1$ and $P_1, \dots, P_s \in M_{\mathbb{Q}} = M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ points in general position. By Prop. 4.6, the set $\mathcal{M}_{0,s}(\Sigma, \Delta)$ is finite and consists of simple marked parametrized tropical curves $h_i : (I_i, x_1^i, \dots, x_s^i) \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$. We are looking for a polyhedral decomposition of $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ with the following properties

1. the tropical curves are contained in the 1-skeleton of \mathcal{P} , i.e.

$$h_i(I_i) \subset \bigcup_{\tau \in \mathcal{P}, \dim \tau = 1} \tau,$$


2. P_1, \dots, P_s are vertices of \mathcal{P} ,
3. the vertices in \mathcal{P} have rational coordinates and the facets in \mathcal{P} have rational slope,
4. each cell in \mathcal{P} has at least one vertex,
5. for each $\tau \in \mathcal{P}$ we have $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r\tau$ is a cone in Σ .

This can be obtained as follows. Let \mathcal{P}_i be the polyhedral decomposition of $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ induced by $h_i(I_i)$. Consider their intersection

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{P}_s = \{\tau_1 \cap \dots \cap \tau_s \mid \tau_i \in \mathcal{P}_i\} \setminus \{\emptyset\}.$$

It satisfies 1 and 3 but not necessarily 2, 4, or 5. However if we further intersect with several translates of the subdivision Σ moving the origin of Σ to each of the P_i we can make sure it also satisfies 2, 4, 5. It might be unnecessary to add translates of Σ , e.g. in the example of section 4.2.1 for which picture (l) of Fig. 6 shows the union of rational curves through P_1, \dots, P_5 we find properties 1-5 satisfied directly. There are situations however where it becomes necessary to add translates of Σ , e.g. when $N_{\Sigma, \Delta}^{0, \text{trop}} = 1$ than 2 is not satisfied. This happens for instance when $X_\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^2$ and when Δ is the sum of the primitive generators of the rays. Also one should note that

Fig. 1 The fan of the toric degeneration of a degree 6 del Pezzo given by the polyhedral decomposition via the union of the rational tropical curves in picture (1) of Fig. 6.



a tropical curve might just be a straight line, e.g. the tropical version of the rational curve $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{0\}$ in $X_\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

We replace M by $\frac{1}{a}M$ where a is the common denominator of the coordinates of the vertices of \mathcal{P} . This doesn't change $N_{\Sigma, \Delta}^{0, \text{trop}}$ and turns \mathcal{P} into an integral subdivision. Note that $(M_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathcal{P})$ is a fan picture (dual intersection complex) for a log Calabi-Yau space in the sense of Def. 1.2. One obtains a degenerating family $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ as follows. Let $\Sigma_{\mathcal{P}}$ be the fan over \mathcal{P} , i.e.

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{P}} = \{\overline{\text{Cone}(\sigma)} \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{P}\} \cup \{\overline{\text{Cone}(\sigma)} \cap (M_{\mathbb{R}} \times \{0\}) \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{P}\}$$

where

$$\text{Cone}(\sigma) = \{(rm, r) \mid m \in \sigma, r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\} \subset M_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}$$

and $\overline{\text{Cone}(\sigma)}$ is its closure. We have X is the toric variety associated to $\Sigma_{\mathcal{P}}$, i.e. $X = X_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{P}}}$ and the map $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ is given by the map of fans induced by the projection $M_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. By property 5 of \mathcal{P} , we have that $\Sigma_{\mathcal{P}}$ has Σ as the subfan living in $M_{\mathbb{R}} \times \{0\}$. This means that the general fibre of f is X_Σ . Furthermore, \mathcal{P} is the intersection of $\Sigma_{\mathcal{P}}$ with $M_{\mathbb{R}} \times \{1\}$, i.e. geometrically f is a toric degeneration of X_Σ and \mathcal{P} indeed gives the fan picture for the central fibre. See Fig. 1 for an example.

5.2 The different counts to be matched

Let L_i be the rank one sublattice of $M \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ generated by $(P_i, 1)$ and let $\mathbb{G}(L_i) \subset \mathbb{G}(M \oplus \mathbb{Z})$ denote the corresponding one-dimensional subtorus of the open dense torus acting on X . Choose general points $Q_1, \dots, Q_s \in \mathbb{G}(M \oplus \mathbb{Z})$ and consider $\overline{\mathbb{G}(L_i) \cdot Q}$, closure of the $\mathbb{G}(L_i)$ -orbit of Q_i in X . The composition $\overline{\mathbb{G}(L_i) \cdot Q} \subset X \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{A}^1$ is an isomorphism, so each $\overline{\mathbb{G}(L_i) \cdot Q}$ gives a section $\sigma_i : \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow X$ of f .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{A}^1 \\
 & \searrow \sigma_1 & \\
 & \sigma_s &
 \end{array}$$

Set $X_0 = f^{-1}(0)$ and more generally $X_t = f^{-1}t$ for $t \in \mathbb{A}^1$. We are next going to match the sets

1. Marked parametrized rational tropical curves $(h, \Gamma, x_1, \dots, x_s)$ of degree Δ through P_1, \dots, P_s , i.e. the set $\mathcal{M}_{0,s}(\Sigma, \Delta)$.
2. Torically transverse log stable genus zero curves

$$g : C^\dagger \rightarrow X_0^\dagger$$

going through $\sigma_1(0), \dots, \sigma_s(0)$.

3. Torically transverse stable genus zero curves in X_t going through $\sigma_1(t), \dots, \sigma_s(t)$ for a general t .

By what we said before, for any $t \neq 0$, $X_t \cong X_\Sigma$ and $\sigma_1(t), \dots, \sigma_s(t)$ lie in general position for t sufficiently general, so the count in 3. is independent of the choice of $t \neq 0$ by usual Gromov-Witten theory. Let K be the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{C}((t))$, so we have inclusions

$$\mathbb{C}[t] \subset \mathbb{C}((t)) \subset K$$

that gives the generic point $\eta : \text{Spec } K \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ of the base of f and we may consider the fibre of f over it which is

$$X_\eta = X \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} \text{Spec } K$$

and because the family X is trivial outside of the central fibre, we have $X_\eta = X_\Sigma \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}} \text{Spec } K$ which is just the toric variety for the fan Σ over the base field K . Furthermore the restriction of σ to the point η , i.e. the composition


$$\text{Spec } K \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathbb{A}^1 \xrightarrow{\sigma_i} X$$

gives a point $\sigma_i(\eta) \in X_\eta$. We are going to replace the count in 3. by the following count at the generic fibre of f .

4. Torically transverse stable genus zero curves in X_η going through $\sigma_1(\eta), \dots, \sigma_s(\eta)$.

The count in 4. coincides with that in 3. because Gromov-Witten invariants don't depend on the algebraically closed base field of characteristic zero that we define X_Σ over.

Fig. 2 Starting from a log curve that maps to X_0 , we construct the associated tropical curve as part of the one-skeleton of \mathcal{P} .



5.3 Turning log curves into tropical curves

Let us start with a log stable curve $g : C^\dagger \rightarrow X_0^\dagger$ going through $\sigma_1(0), \dots, \sigma_s(0)$. The central fibre X_0 is a union of closed toric strata D_τ for $\tau \in \mathcal{P}$ (D_τ is the closure of the torus orbit given by $\text{Cone}(\tau) \in \Sigma$). The components are actually D_v for $v \in \mathcal{P}$ a vertex. One checks that

$$\sigma_i(0) \in D_{P_i},$$

in fact it lies in the dense torus of D_{P_i} . Here it becomes handy that P_i are vertices of \mathcal{P} which we ensured in section 5.1. A component of C_j of C maps under g into some toric surface D_{v_j} for v_j a vertex in \mathcal{P} . It doesn't map into the boundary divisor of D_{v_j} by the toric transverseness assumption on g .

We build the tropical curve $h : (\Gamma, x_1, \dots, x_s) \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$ corresponding to the log curve g by first constructing its image $h(\Gamma)$. The vertices of $h(\Gamma)$ will be

$$\{v_j \mid C_j \subset C \text{ is a component}\}$$

and we connect two vertices by a straight line whenever the corresponding components of C map to different components of X_0 . It can happen that different C_j map to the same v_j . This won't bother us. We yet lack the rays shooting off to infinity for $h(\Gamma)$. We add a ray $\rho \in \Sigma$ at the vertex v_j for every point of intersection of C_j with a divisor $D_\omega \subset D_{v_j}$ for $\omega \in \mathcal{P}$ a ray that is a translate of ρ . We have now built the image $h(\Gamma)$ of a tropical curve containing P_1, \dots, P_s . Fig. 2 illustrates this process. It remains to attach weights to edges and rays and to check that the balancing condition holds. To then obtain Γ is straightforward as it is determined by $h(\Gamma)$ plus weights and the P_i . Indeed, the images of edges of Γ under h meet transversely by the assumption of the P_i to be in general position. As Γ is trivalent, a higher valency than three of a vertex in $h(\Gamma)$ means a crossing of two edges of Γ . Even beyond this, one should note that the set of vertices v_j just given may be larger than the actual set of tropical curve vertices, for instance when a couple of intervals connect to form a longer interval, the midpoints get ignored in the definition of (h, Γ, x_i) unless they are marked points. For the reverse construction later on, one simply retrieves the midpoints from the knowledge of \mathcal{P} .

5.3.1 The weights

Let us pick an edge E of $h(\Gamma)$ that we want to associate a weight to. If E is a ray with vertex v then we take for its weight the sum of the intersection multiplicities with D_E of the components C_j of C that map to D_v . A posteriori we will know that there is only one such component meeting D_E and it has intersection multiplicity one with D_E because the tropical curve we produce is going to be simple by Prop. 4.6 and unbounded edges of simple curves have weight one.

Let now E be a bounded edge, so D_E is the intersection of two components D_{v_1}, D_{v_2} of X_0 . We define the weight of E to be the sum of the intersection multiplicities with D_E of all components of C that map to D_{v_1} and we need that this number coincides with the one where we replace D_{v_1} by D_{v_2} . This is guaranteed by the log geometry:

Lemma 5.1. *Let p be an intersection point of two components C_1, C_2 of C that map to D_{v_1}, D_{v_2} where v_1 and v_2 are connected by an edge E and $g(p) \in D_E$. The intersection multiplicity of $g(C_1)$ with D_E coincides with the intersection multiplicity of $g(C_2)$ with D_E .*

Proof. Recall that S_e is the monoid that is given multiplicatively by

$$S_e = \langle x, y, z \mid xy = z^e \rangle.$$

Let l be the integral length of E . The log structure of X_0 at $g(p)$ is given by the local structure near the origin in the log chart

$$\begin{aligned} S_l &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x, y, u]/(xy) \\ x &\mapsto x \\ y &\mapsto y \\ z &\mapsto 0. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, while the underlying space X_0 is ignorant of the length of E , its log structure still remembers it. The local structure of the log map $g : C^\dagger \rightarrow X_0^\dagger$ takes the shape in terms of local charts at p and $g(p)$ given in the following commutative diagram of monoids.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(xy) & \xleftarrow{g} & \mathbb{C}[x, y, u]/(xy) \\ \uparrow & \begin{array}{c} x^w \leftarrow ux \\ y^w \mapsto y \\ 0 \leftarrow u \end{array} & \uparrow \\ S_e & \xleftarrow{\quad} & S_l \\ & \begin{array}{c} x^w \leftarrow ux \\ y^w \leftarrow y \\ z \leftarrow z^e \end{array} & \end{array} \tag{13}$$

so there is another integer e that is encoded in the log structure of C (similarly as w is encoded in the log structure of X_0) and there is an integer w that comes from the log-structure part of the map g . The well-definedness of this part implies

$$we = l$$

and w is the intersection multiplicity with $D_E = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[u]$ of either component of C .

5.3.2 The balancing condition

Let us now pick a vertex $v \in h(\Gamma)$ that corresponds to a component C_v of C that maps non-constantly into D_v under g . Let D_{E_1}, \dots, D_{E_r} be the toric divisors in D_v that are met by $g(C_v)$ with intersection multiplicities w_1, \dots, w_r respectively. Let Σ_v denote the fan of D_v with the rays corresponding to E_1, \dots, E_r generated by the primitive vectors m_1, \dots, m_r . We want to show that

$$\sum_i w_i m_i = 0$$

for which it suffices to show that $\sum_i w_i \langle m_i, n \rangle = 0$ holds for all n in the dual space. Such an n defines a rational function z^n and $\langle m_i, n \rangle$ is its order of vanishing along D_{E_i} , so $\sum_i w_i \langle m_i, n \rangle$ is the divisor of zeros and poles of the restriction of z^n to $g(C_v)$ which is therefore zero.

5.4 Turning tropical curves into log curves

The knowledge about Prop. 4.6 becomes handy for this step. It tells us that there are only finitely many tropical curves (that we have already built into the construction of Σ) and moreover these are all simple. Let now $(h, \Gamma, x_1, \dots, x_s)$ be one of them. We want to construct a torically transverse log curve $g : C^\dagger \rightarrow X_0^\dagger$ whose tropical curve under the association in the previous section 5.3 brings us back to h . We will need that for an edge ω of \mathcal{P} contained in $h(E)$ for an edge E of Γ the weight $w(E)$ divides the length of ω because this always holds for the resulting tropical curve obtained from a log curve by the previous section. We can achieve this by replacing M by $\frac{1}{b}M$ for a suitable b if necessary.

Let $\widehat{\Gamma}$ be the graph that results from first removing all marked edges from Γ and then removing each resulting bivalent vertex by identifying its adjacent edges respectively. We denote by $\widehat{\Gamma}^{[0]}$ the vertices of $\widehat{\Gamma}$ (these coincide with those vertices of Γ that are not adjacent to a marked edge). By $\widehat{\Gamma}^{[1]}$ we denote the set of edges of $\widehat{\Gamma}$ and E_j ($1 \leq j \leq s$) refers to the edge of $\widehat{\Gamma}$ that arises from identifying the edges of Γ adjacent to E_{x_j} . Note that a priori it could happen that $E_j = E_k$ for $j \neq k$. For $E \in \widehat{\Gamma}^{[1]}$ we define its weight $w(E)$ as the weight of an edge of Γ that is one of its constituents (or coincides with it) which is well-defined by the balancing condition and since $w(E_{x_i}) = 0$.

For each bounded edge E in $\widehat{\Gamma}$ let v_E^+, v_E^- be an enumeration of its vertices and for a ray E let v_E^- be its vertex. Let $u_E \in M$ be the primitive vector pointing from $h(v_E^-)$ into $h(E)$. (In case $E = E_i$, let u_E be the primitive vector pointing from $h(v_E^-)$ into $h(E')$ where E' is the edge of Γ adjacent to v_E^- and that got concatenated with other edges of Γ to become E .) We set $u_i = u_{E_i}$ and $v_-^i = v_-^{E_i}$. The crucial gadget in this section is the map of lattices

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \text{Map}(\widehat{\Gamma}^{[0]}, M) &\rightarrow \left(\prod_{\substack{E \in \widehat{\Gamma}^{[1]} \\ E \text{ bounded}}} M / \mathbb{Z}u_E \right) \oplus \left(\prod_{i=1}^s M / \mathbb{Z}u_i \right) \\ H &\mapsto ((H(v_+^E) - H(v_-^E))_E, H(v_-^1), \dots, H(v_-^s)) \end{aligned}$$

An element $H \in \text{Map}(\widehat{\Gamma}^{[0]}, M_{\mathbb{R}})$ gives a piecewise affine deformation h_H of h (with fewer vertices however) by moving the vertices that are in $\widehat{\Gamma}^{[0]}$ as prescribed by H , i.e.

$$\widehat{\Gamma}^{[0]} \ni v \mapsto v + H(v) =: h_H(v).$$

One extends this to a map $h_H : \widehat{\Gamma} \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$ by sending a bounded edge affine linearly the the interval between the images of its vertices and an unbounded unmarked edge E gets mapped to the parallel translate of $h(E)$ so that its vertex is $h_H(v_-^E)$ (If E is an edge concatenated from various edges of Γ , then we mean by $h(E)$ the union of the images of the individual edges under h .) Let $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the result of tensoring Φ by \mathbb{R} . The main point is that $h_H : \widehat{\Gamma} \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a parametrized tropical curve containing the P_i if and only if $H \in \ker \Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$. Since h is rigid, $\ker \Phi_{\mathbb{R}} = 0$ and thus Φ is injective. By a rank count one concludes

Lemma 5.2. *Φ is an embedding of lattices with finite index.*

Let $\mathfrak{d} = |\text{coker } \Phi|$ be this index.

Theorem 5.3. 1. *The number of stable maps $g : C \rightarrow X_0$ with $\sigma_i(0) \in g(C)$ that give back h under the recipe of the previous section is \mathfrak{d} .*
 2. *The number of possibilities of turning a given $g : C \rightarrow X_0$ into a strict log map $g : C^{\dagger} \rightarrow X_0^{\dagger}$ is*

$$\mathfrak{w} = \left(\prod_{\substack{E \in \widehat{\Gamma}^{[1]} \\ E \text{ bounded}}} w(E) \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^s w(E_i) \right).$$

3. *We have*


$$\mathfrak{d} \cdot \mathfrak{w} = \text{Mult}(h).$$

Proof. We give only the main ideas since details can be found in [16]. For a lattice L , we denote $\mathbb{G}(L) = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_m$ the corresponding group scheme for \mathbb{G}_m the multiplicative group of \mathbb{C} . The result of applying \mathbb{G} to Φ ,

$$\mathbb{G}(\Phi) : \mathbb{G}(\text{Map}(\Gamma^{[0]}, M)) \rightarrow \left(\prod_{\substack{E \in \Gamma^{[1]} \\ E \text{ bounded}}} \mathbb{G}(M/\mathbb{Z}u_E) \right) \times \left(\prod_{i=1}^s \mathbb{G}(M/\mathbb{Z}u_i) \right)$$

is a surjection with kernel $\text{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\text{coker}(\Phi), \mathbb{G}_m) \cong \text{coker}(\Phi)$ of size \mathfrak{d} because \mathbb{G}_m is divisible. We are going to match choices of stable maps g corresponding to h with elements in the source of $\mathbb{G}(\Phi)$ that map to the trivial element in the target. Since $|\ker(\mathbb{G}(\Phi))| = \mathfrak{d}$, we will conclude item 1 of the Theorem from this. This matching can be seen through the following steps.

1. Given $v \in \widehat{\Gamma}^{[0]}$, let E_1, \dots, E_3 be the adjacent edges of $\widehat{\Gamma}^{[0]}$ and $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \subset h(\Gamma) \cap \mathcal{P}$ be the corresponding three edges in \mathcal{P} containing $h(v)$. One checks that the standard action of $\mathbb{G}(\text{Hom}(\{v\}, M)) \cong \mathbb{G}_m^2$ on $D_{h(v)}$ induces a transitive and free action on the set of maps $g_v : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow D_{h(v)}$ up to automorphism of the domain such that $g_v(\mathbb{P}^1)$ meets the three divisors $D_{\omega_1}, D_{\omega_2}, D_{\omega_3}$ at order $w(E_1), w(E_2), w(E_3)$.



2. Let $h_0 : \widehat{\Gamma} \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$ refer to the map h_H with $H = 0$, i.e. h_0 is the adaption of h from Γ to $\widehat{\Gamma}$. For $E \in \widehat{\Gamma}^{[1]}$ an edge connecting v_1 to v_2 , we need to connect $g_{v_1}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ to $g_{v_2}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ by a chain of \mathbb{P}^1 s, one for each $v \in \mathcal{P}$ contained in the relative interior of $h_0(E)$. The \mathbb{P}^1 corresponding to such a $v \in h_0(E)$ maps into D_v . Each such D_v has a natural \mathbb{P}^1 -fibration via the map $M \rightarrow M/\mathbb{Z}u_E$ and we are looking for a chain of fibres of these fibrations. The fibres are parametrized by $\mathbb{G}(M/\mathbb{Z}u_E)$ and the condition that $g_{v_1}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ connects to $g_{v_2}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ can be phrased by saying that $\mathbb{G}(\text{Hom}(\{v_1\}, M))$ and $\mathbb{G}(\text{Hom}(\{v_2\}, M))$ project to the same element of $\mathbb{G}(M/\mathbb{Z}u_E)$.
3. Eventually P_i lies in the relative interior of $h_0(E_i)$ and $\sigma_i(0)$ lies in the fibration fibre given by some element of $\mathbb{G}(M/\mathbb{Z}u_i)$. That $g(C)$ contains this fibre is encoded in the second factor in the target of $\mathbb{G}(\Phi)$.

To prove 2. note that by strictness there is only a choice for the log structure at the special points of C . These are points $p \in C$ such that the log structure of X_0 at $g(p)$ is not just the pullback from the base $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}^\dagger$. There are three kinds of such points: points of X_0 where two components meet, marked points $\sigma_i(0)$ and points in the toric boundary $\partial X_0 = \bigcup_{D \subset X_\Sigma \text{ a prime divisor not in } X_0} D \cap X_0$. One checks that there is only a choice to be made at points where two components of X_0 meet. The structure there is given by (13). All maps in this diagram are fixed except for the left vertical one that we may twist. There is not much of a choice for twisting either in order to keep commutativity. What works for this map is this

$$x \mapsto \zeta x, \quad y \mapsto y$$

for a w th $= \frac{l}{e}$ th root of unity ζ . This gives the same log structure on C abstractly but not the same as a $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}^\dagger$ -scheme because the product xy changes by ζ and this is

a section coming from of the log structure in the base $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}^\dagger$. On the other hand twisting both x and y yields something that can be shown to be isomorphic to one of the given twists.

Finally, some of these choices are isomorphic by an isomorphism of the underlying scheme C . Indeed, we can apply a deck transformation to the source whenever a \mathbb{P}^1 maps to D_v for v in the interior of $h_0(E)$ with $E \in \widehat{\Gamma}^{[1]}$. There are $w(E)$ sheets that we can permute cyclically. This has the effect of that for a given edge E of $\widehat{\Gamma}$ with vertices v_1, v_2 the number of choices for the log structure at the nodes of the chain of \mathbb{P}^1 s connecting $g_{v_1}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ and $g_{v_2}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is

$$w(E) \cdot |\{\omega \in \mathcal{P} \text{ an edge with } \omega \subset h_0(E)\}|$$

whereas the total of possible deck transformations is

$$w(E) \cdot |\{v \in \mathcal{P} \text{ a vertex in the interior of } h_0(E)\}|.$$

The net choice is thus $w(E)$ for each bounded edge E and there is only one choice for unbounded edges. If the edge is marked however, there is an additional choice of where to place the marking in the cover, so a marked edge E contributes an additional factor of $w(E)$. This gives item 2 in the assertion.

The proof of item 3 starts with a local argument noting the multiplicity at a (trivalent) vertex v of $\widehat{\Gamma}$ is defined by

$$w(E_1)w(E_2)|u_{E_1} \wedge u_{E_2}|$$

where E_1, E_2 are two of the three outgoing edges at v . One finds that $|u_{E_1} \wedge u_{E_2}|$ coincides with the rank of the cokernel of

$$\text{Hom}(\{v\}, M) \rightarrow M/\mathbb{Z}u_{E_1} \oplus M/\mathbb{Z}u_{E_2}$$

which is the map given by projection on each component and it is a constituent of the map Φ . One can prove item 3 by induction where one removes an unbounded ray with its vertex in each step. One finally uses item 1 and 2, for more details see [16].

In short, we have seen in this section that for a tropical curve $h : (\Gamma, x_1, \dots, x_2) \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$ there are $\text{Mult}(h)$ many different torically transverse log curves up to isomorphism that match the combinatorics of h .

5.5 From ordinary stable curves to log stable curves

Assume now we are given a torically transverse stable curve $g_\eta : C_\eta \rightarrow X_\eta$, i.e. mapping in the generic fibre of the degeneration $f : X_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$. We require it to contain $\sigma_1(\eta), \dots, \sigma_s(\eta)$. A priori, we don't know Σ as it was constructed from the tropical curves after choosing P_i and we do neither know the tropical curves nor the

P_i yet. Instead we start with any Σ satisfying properties 3.-5. of section 5.1. E.g. the constant family with fibre the toric surface X we started with will do. A fan satisfying also properties 1. and 2. will come out of the construction in this section. Starting with the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_\eta & \xrightarrow{g_\eta} & X_\Sigma \setminus X_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \text{Spec } K & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\} \end{array}$$

we want to fill in the fibres over $\{0\}$. This can be done by stable reduction after possibly doing a base change $\mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1, z \mapsto z^k$ which is no problem for us as it just means a rescaling of \mathcal{P} . The resulting stable curve that then maps into X_Σ will typically not be torically transverse. By a suitable toric blow-up supported on the central fibre X_0 and given by a subdivision of \mathcal{P} , the map can be made torically transverse, see [16, Thm. 4.24]. Doing this blow up for each curve in X_η will implicitly ensure that \mathcal{P} contains all tropical curves as required in property 1 and 2. Once one has filled the central fibre by blow-up and semi-stable reduction we obtain a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{g} & X_\Sigma \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \text{Spec } R & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^1 \end{array}$$

with R a discrete valuation ring and the base horizontal map dominant and C a torically transverse stable map. We obtain the log curve by restriction of g to C_0 , the fibre over $\{0\}$, plus pulling back the divisorial log structure $\mathcal{M}_{(X_\Sigma, X_0)}$ to C_0 , see Ex. 2.4.

5.6 From log curves to ordinary curves

Starting with a torically transverse log stable curve $g_0 : C_0^\dagger \rightarrow X_0^\dagger$, we want to deform it to a stable map $g : C \rightarrow X_\Sigma$ so that we can then restrict it to the generic point η to obtain an ordinary torically transverse stable curve

$$g_\eta : C_\eta \rightarrow (X_\Sigma)_\eta = X \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}} \text{Spec } K.$$

This works by log deformation theory. The goal is to lift $g_0 : C_0^\dagger \rightarrow X_0^\dagger$ order by order to C_1, C_2, \dots where $g_i : C_i^\dagger \rightarrow X_\Sigma^\dagger$ is defined over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[t]]/(t^{i+1})$. We can then take the projective limit to obtain a curve $g_\infty : C_\infty \rightarrow X_\Sigma$ defined over $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ which we then restrict to η to get the ordinary curve. There are four steps

1. thicken C_0^\dagger to higher orders C_i^\dagger ,

2. (step 1 plus) extend the map $C_i^\dagger \rightarrow X_\Sigma$,
3. (step 1,2 plus) extend the marked points $x_j \rightarrow C_i$ as sections over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[t]/(t^{i+1})$,
4. (step 1,2,3 plus) make sure that the sections x_j map under g to the sections σ_j .

The first item is governed by log smooth deformation theory. The obstruction group is $H^2(C_0, \Theta_{C_0^\dagger/\mathbb{C}^\dagger})$ where $\Theta_{C_0^\dagger/\mathbb{C}^\dagger}$ is the relative log tangent sheaf and this cohomology group vanishes because C_0 is a curve so any H^2 of a coherent sheaf is zero. The lifts from C_i^\dagger to C_{i+1}^\dagger form a torsor over

$$H^1(C_0, \Theta_{C_0^\dagger/\mathbb{C}^\dagger}).$$

For the second step consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Theta_{C_0^\dagger/\mathbb{C}^\dagger} \rightarrow g^* \Theta_{X_0^\dagger/\mathbb{C}^\dagger} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{g_0} \rightarrow 0 \quad (14)$$

where $\Theta_{X_0^\dagger/\mathbb{C}^\dagger}$ is the (relative) log tangent sheaf of X_0^\dagger and \mathcal{N}_{g_0} is defined by this sequence and can be called the log normal sheaf to g_0 . Obstructions to lifting the map $C_i^\dagger \rightarrow X_\Sigma$ sit in $H^1(C_0, g_0^* \Theta_{X_0^\dagger/\mathbb{C}^\dagger})$. This group is trivial because $\Theta_{X_0^\dagger/\mathbb{C}^\dagger}$ is a trivial vector bundle by a general fact for the standard log structures on toric varieties and since C_0 is a rational stable curve $H^1(C_0, \mathcal{O}_{C_0}) = 0$. One can show that the set of lifts from $C_i^\dagger \rightarrow X_\Sigma^\dagger$ to $C_{i+1}^\dagger \rightarrow X_\Sigma^\dagger$ is a torsor over

$$H^0(C_0, \mathcal{N}_{g_0}),$$

see [16, Thm. 3.41]. This connects to step one via the connecting homomorphism in cohomology

$$H^0(C_0, \mathcal{N}_{g_0}) \rightarrow H^1(C_0, \Theta_{C_0^\dagger/\mathbb{C}^\dagger}).$$

For step 3 consider the embedding $\Theta_{C_0^\dagger/\mathbb{C}^\dagger}(-\sum_i x_i) \subset \Theta_{C_0^\dagger/\mathbb{C}^\dagger}$. We can modify (14) to

$$0 \rightarrow \Theta_{C_0^\dagger/\mathbb{C}^\dagger} \left(-\sum_i x_i \right) \rightarrow g^* \Theta_{X_0^\dagger/\mathbb{C}^\dagger} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{g_0, \mathbf{x}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (15)$$

where again $\mathcal{N}_{g_0, \mathbf{x}}$ is defined via this sequence. There will then be a surjection

$$\mathcal{N}_{g_0, \mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{g_0}$$

whose kernel can be identified with $\bigoplus_{i=1}^s \Theta_{C_0^\dagger/\mathbb{C}^\dagger}|_{x_i}$ and thus

$$\mathcal{N}_{g_0, \mathbf{x}} \cong \mathcal{N}_{g_0} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \Theta_{C_0^\dagger/\mathbb{C}^\dagger}|_{x_i}. \quad (16)$$

Given $g_i : C_i^\dagger \rightarrow X_\Sigma^\dagger$ with sections $x_i : \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[t]/(t^{i+1}) \rightarrow C_i$, the set of lifts of this data to order $i+1$ is a torsor over

$$H^0(C_0, \mathcal{N}_{g_0, \mathbf{x}}),$$

see [16, Thm. 3.42].

Finally for step 4, one considers the map

$$\Xi : H^0(C_0, \mathcal{N}_{g_0, \mathbf{x}}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^s g^* \Theta_{X_0^\dagger/\mathbb{C}^\dagger} \Big|_{x_i}$$

given by choosing local lifts near the x_i from $\mathcal{N}_{g_0, \mathbf{x}}$ to $g^* \Theta_{X_0^\dagger/\mathbb{C}^\dagger}$ and then restricting these to the x_i . The right hand side records the deformation of the sections $\sigma_i(0)$ and if we want to follow any such deformation with the images $g(x_i)$, the map Ξ needs to be surjective and the set of lifts satisfying item 4 is then a torsor under $\ker \Xi$, see [16, Thm. 3.43]. It turns out the Ξ is an isomorphism, so there is actually a unique lift for item 4. The proof is going to features the map Φ once more! Using the splitting (16) we find Ξ is an isomorphism if and only if

$$\Xi' : H^0(C_0, \mathcal{N}_{g_0}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \frac{g^* \Theta_{X_0^\dagger/\mathbb{C}^\dagger} \Big|_{x_i}}{\Theta_{C_0^\dagger/\mathbb{C}^\dagger} \Big|_{x_i}}$$

is one. The range of Ξ' can be identified with

$$\prod_{i=1}^s (M/\mathbb{Z}u_i) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$$

Via a components-wise calculation and gluing condition, one finds that $H^0(C_0, \mathcal{N}_{g_0})$ is identified with the kernel of the surjection

$$\mathrm{Map}(\widehat{\Gamma}^{[0]}, M) \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \left(\prod_{\substack{E \in \widehat{\Gamma}^{[1]} \\ E \text{ bounded}}} (M/\mathbb{Z}u_E) \otimes \mathbb{C} \right)$$

Hence, Ξ' is an isomorphism if and only if $\Phi \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is one and we have seen this earlier. For details, consult [16, §4.5].

6 Mirror Symmetry for \mathbb{P}^2

We give a sketch of Gross’s construction of mirror symmetry for \mathbb{P}^2 , which can be seen as a tropical reformulation and expansion of Barannikov’s construction [4]. We begin with an outline of the relevant details of Barannikov’s construction, touch on the major concepts and tools of Gross’s construction, and end with a statement of the theorem. This exposition should be viewed as an attempt to give an abridged summary of [16] [15] with a few explanatory notes.

6.1 Introduction

In the case of Calabi-Yau threefolds, mirror symmetry relates the moduli space of Kähler structures on one manifold X (the so-called A-model) with the moduli space of complex structures on another manifold, \check{X} (the B-model). Our picture is a bit different, as we’ll be examining a mirror symmetry construction for \mathbb{P}^2 , which is not Calabi-Yau.

The A-model structure we’ll be discussing on $X := \mathbb{P}^2$ is relatively straightforward to describe; it concerns (roughly) counts of rational curves on X satisfying certain intersection and genus requirements. These “counts,” called Gromov-Witten invariants, can be used to perturb the usual cup product on the cohomology of X into something called quantum cohomology, a construction whose operations can then be compiled into a particularly nice object called a Frobenius manifold.

When X is Fano, as it is in our case, the mirror object is not a manifold but rather a *Landau-Ginzburg model*. In the context of our discussion, this consists of a pair (\hat{X}, W) , where \hat{X} is a variety and $W : \hat{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a regular function called a *Landau-Ginzburg potential*. Through Barannikov’s technique of semi-infinite variation of Hodge structures [4], one can again recover a Frobenius manifold. Mirror symmetry dictates that the Frobenius manifolds arising in the A- and B-model constructions should be the same.

In the case of $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, Gross has shown that both sides of the mirror are intrinsically susceptible to analysis by tropical geometry [16] [15]. In his pioneering work, Mikhalkin demonstrated its descriptive power for the A-model by showing it possible to compute certain Gromov-Witten invariants for toric surfaces (including, of course, \mathbb{P}^2) by counting tropical curves in \mathbb{R}^2 [38]. The ease with which these invariants could now be computed and the conceptual insight yielded by the tropical point of view has inspired many attempts to generalize the result. Gathmann, Markwig, Kerber, Rau and others have made significant progress in this regard, establishing not only methods for the tropical computation of certain *descendant* Gromov-Witten invariants, but also an intersection theory on a relevant moduli space [12] [36].

The tropical interpretation of the Landau-Ginzburg model is more recent. The content of Gross’s version of mirror symmetry for \mathbb{P}^2 is a simple, tropical description of the Landau-Ginzburg potential such that the mirror relationship can be easily described in terms of combinatorial objects. This should be seen as a proof-of-concept

for the Gross-Siebert program, exhibiting mirror symmetry via by expressing either side of the picture using the same tropical data. For discussion on the generalization of these ideas and a better sense of their context, please see [16] and especially [17].

7 Barannikov's construction

7.1 A model

We will assume basic knowledge of Gromov-Witten theory. For more information, consult the relevant chapter in this volume. We'll confine our discussion to the concrete example of $X := \mathbb{P}^2$. Define $\mathcal{M} := \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[y_0, y_1, y_2]]$. Let T_i be a positive generator of $H^{2i}(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{Z})$ and let

$$\gamma := y_0 T_0 + y_1 T_1 + y_2 T_2$$

With this data, we are able to define the *Gromov-Witten potential* of \mathbb{P}^2 .

$$\Phi := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})} \frac{1}{k!} \langle \gamma^k \rangle_{0, \beta}.$$

This function encodes much of the enumerative information of \mathbb{P}^2 . Define a constant metric g on \mathcal{M} with

$$g(\partial_{y_i}, \partial_{y_j}) := \int_{\mathbb{P}^2} T_i \cup T_j$$

and the connection ∇ given by the flat sections ∂_{y_i} . Define a product structure on the tangent bundle of \mathcal{M} given by

$$\partial_{y_i} * \partial_{y_j} := \sum_{a,l} (\partial_{y_i} \partial_{y_j} \partial_{y_a} \Phi) g^{al} \partial_{y_l}.$$

This data defines a *Frobenius manifold*. For much more on these objects, see [35].

Identifying T_i with ∂_{y_i} , one can think of $*$ as giving a product structure on $H^*(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{C}[[y_0, y_1, y_2]])$. This is known as the *big quantum cohomology ring*. The A-model data encoded in this manifold can be arranged into a function that will arise naturally on the other side of the mirror. To define this function, we'll need a slight upgrade of the Gromov-Witten invariant, known as the *descendent* Gromov-Witten invariant.

Definition 7.1 (Descendent Gromov-Witten invariants). For $\alpha_i \in H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$, define

$$\langle \psi^{j_1} \alpha_1, \dots, \psi^{j_n} \alpha_n \rangle_{g, \beta} := \int_{[\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(X, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}} \psi_1^{j_1} \cup \dots \cup \psi_n^{j_n} \cup \text{ev}^*(\alpha_1 \times \dots \times \alpha_n).$$

Here we've attached a natural line bundle \mathcal{L}_i to $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta)$ associated to each marked point x_i . The fiber of \mathcal{L}_i at a point $[(C, x_1, \dots, x_n)]$ is the cotangent line $\mathfrak{m}_{x_i}/\mathfrak{m}_{x_i}^2$, where $\mathfrak{m}_{x_i} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{C, x_i}$ is the maximal ideal. Then $\psi_i := c_1(\mathcal{L}_i) \in H^2(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta), \mathbb{Q})$.

Definition 7.2 (Givental's J-function for \mathbb{P}^2). $J_{\mathbb{P}^2} : \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow H^*(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{C})$ is defined as follows:

$$J_{\mathbb{P}^2}(y_0, y_1, y_2, \hbar) := e^{\frac{y_0 T_0 + y_1 T_1}{\hbar}} \cup \left(T_0 + \sum_{i=0}^2 \left(y_2 \hbar^{-1} \delta_{2,i} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \sum_{d \geq 1} \sum_{v \geq 0} \langle T_2^{3d+i-2-v}, \psi^v T_{2-i} \rangle_{0,d} \hbar^{-(v+2)} e^{dy_1} \frac{y_2^{3d+i-2-v}}{(3d+i-2-v)!} \right) T_i \right)$$

We can define functions $J_i : \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow H^{2i}(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{C})$ by the decomposition of J :

$$J_{\mathbb{P}^2} = \sum_{i=0}^n J_i T_i$$

7.2 B model

Here we follow the summary of Barannikov's results [4] as given in [15]. The mirror of \mathbb{P}^2 is the Landau-Ginzburg model (\hat{X}, W) , where $\hat{X} := V(x_0 x_1 x_2 - 1) \subseteq \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, x_2]$ and $W = x_0 + x_1 + x_2$.

We consider the universal unfolding of W parametrized by the moduli space $\text{Specf } \mathbb{C}[[t_0, t_1, t_2]]$

$$W_t := \sum_{i=0}^2 W^i t_i,$$

and the local system \mathcal{R} on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ whose fiber at a point (t, \hbar) is the relative homology group $H_n(\hat{X}, \text{Re}(W_t/\hbar) \ll 0)$. With this setup, Barannikov uses semi-infinite variation of Hodge parameters to show the following result. See Chapter 2 of [16] for a discussion of how these structures arise in our particular example. First, there is a unique choice of the following data:

- A (multi-valued) basis of sections of \mathcal{R} , Ξ_0, Ξ_1, Ξ_2 , with Ξ_i uniquely defined modulo Ξ_0, \dots, Ξ_{i-1} .
- A section s of $\mathcal{R}^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{C}^\times}$ defined by integration of a family of holomorphic forms on $\hat{X} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ of the form

$$e^{W_t/\hbar} f d \log x_1 \wedge d \log x_2$$

where \hbar is the coordinate on \mathbb{C} and f is a regular function on $\hat{X} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ with $f|_{\hat{X} \times \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^\times} = 1$ and which extends to a regular function on $\hat{X} \times \mathcal{M} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times \cup \{\infty\})$.

- The monodromy associated with $\hbar \rightarrow \hbar e^{2\pi i}$ in \mathcal{R} is given, in the constructed basis, by $\exp(6\pi i N)$, where

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- A fiber of \mathcal{R}^\vee is identified with the ring $\mathbb{C}[\alpha]/(\alpha^3)$, with α^i dual to Ξ_i . The selected section s of $\mathcal{R}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{C}^\times}$ gives us an element of each fiber of \mathcal{R}^\vee , which we write as

$$s(\mathbf{t}, \hbar) = \sum_{i=0}^2 \alpha^i \int_{\Xi_i} e^{W_{\mathbf{t}}/\hbar} f \mathrm{dlog} x_1 \wedge \mathrm{dlog} x_2$$

We require that we can write

$$s(\mathbf{t}, \hbar) = \hbar^{-(3\alpha)} \sum_{i=0}^2 \phi_i(\mathbf{t}, \hbar) (\alpha \hbar)^i$$

for functions ϕ_i satisfying

$$\phi_i(\mathbf{t}, \hbar) = \delta_{0,i} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi_{i,j}(\mathbf{t}) \hbar^{-j}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq 2$. These conditions place a restriction on the function f . In the above,

$$\hbar^{-3\alpha} = \sum_{i=0}^2 \frac{(3)^i}{i!} (-\log \hbar)^i \alpha^i,$$

which absorbs the multi-valuedness of the integrals.

As a result of these conditions, if we set $y_i(\mathbf{t}) = \phi_{i,1}(\mathbf{t})$, the functions y_i form a set of coordinates on \mathcal{M} , $\lim_{\hbar \rightarrow \infty} \hbar^i \phi_i(0, \hbar) = \delta_{0,i}$, and we are able to state the following:

Proposition 7.3 (Mirror symmetry for \mathbb{P}^2). *Given the above setup, on the \mathbb{C} vector space $\mathbb{C}[[y_0, y_1, y_2, \hbar^{-1}]]$,*

$$J_i = \phi_i$$

See [4] for the part of the statement not involving descendent invariants, and [26] for a more direct proof. The functions $\phi_{i,t}(\mathbf{t})$ can be thought of as specifying a new set of coordinates on the moduli space; it is this change of coordinates that gives the isomorphism of the B-model Frobenius manifold with that arising in the A-model. In Barannikov's formulation, this change of coordinates is difficult to make explicit and not immediately meaningful. We will see that Gross's tropical methods make the transition very natural and explicit, providing a tropical interpretation of mirror symmetry.

7.3 Tropical A-model

The story here is the relatively long and extensive history of the tropical computation of Gromov-Witten invariants. See Section 5. It's important to note that not all of the invariants appearing in the J function have *a priori* tropical interpretations. In particular, tropical versions of descendent invariants of the type $\langle \psi^V T_i, T_2, \dots, T_2 \rangle_{0,d}$ are, for $i \neq 2$, a result of the mirror symmetry construction outlined here. The case where $i = 2$ was previously treated by Markwig and Rau [36].

8 Tropical B-model

8.1 Family of tropical Landau-Ginzburg potentials

Recalling the role of the Landau-Ginzburg potential as discussed in 7.2, we now outline the tropical version given in [16]. The idea is to replace Barannikov's universal unfolding of W with one that naturally relates to the flat coordinates y_i on the A-model side. Fukaya, Oh, Ohta, and Ono have shown that it is possible to construct a universal unfolding in terms of Maslov index 2 holomorphic disks [9]; there is a relationship between tropical disks and holomorphic disks [40]. Gross's construction defines a universal deformation of W in terms of Maslov index 2 tropical disks; the process of integration glues these disks together to form tropical curves (appearing on the A-model side of the picture). In this process, the flat coordinates arise naturally and the mirror statement is a transparent combinatorial relationship.

Fix k points P_1, \dots, P_k and a single point Q in general position in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$. In this context, general position can be achieved by choosing points for which the line connecting any pair is of irrational slope. For the definitions of tropical curves, disks, and trees, see Section 3.

Definition 8.1 (R_k). For each $P_i \in \{P_1, \dots, P_k\}$ associate the variable u_i in the ring:

$$R_k := \frac{\mathbb{C}[u_1, \dots, u_k]}{(u_1^2, \dots, u_k^2)}$$

For a tropical disk or tree h in $(X_{\Sigma}, P_1, \dots, P_k)$, define $I(h) \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$ by

$$I(h) := \{i \mid h(p_j) = P_i \text{ for some } j\}$$

Definition 8.2 ($u_{I(h)}$). Let h be a tropical disk or tree in $(X_{\Sigma}, P_1, \dots, P_k)$. Then


$$u_{I(h)} := \prod_{i \in I(h)} u_i$$

Definition 8.3 ($\text{Mono}(h)$). Let h be a Maslov index 2 tropical disk with boundary Q or Maslov index 0 tropical tree. Then

$$\text{Mono}(h) := \text{Mult}(h) u_{I(h)} z^{\Delta(h)} \in \mathbb{C}[T_\Sigma] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} R_k[[y_0]]$$

where $z^{\Delta(h)} \in \mathbb{C}[T_\Sigma]$ is the monomial associated to $\Delta(h)$. We will often write x_i for $z^{\nu \rho_i}$. See Figure 1.

Fig. 1 Toric fan for \mathbb{P}^2



Definition 8.4 ($W_k(Q)$). We define the k -pointed n -descendent Landau Ginzburg potential as

$$W_k(Q) := y_0 + \sum_h \text{Mono}(h)$$

where the sum is over all Maslov index 2 disks $h \in (X_\Sigma, P_1, \dots, P_k)$ with boundary Q .

8.2 B-model tropical moduli

Here we define Givental's B-model moduli space [13], closely following the presentation in [15].

Fix a complete fan Σ in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ with X_Σ a non-singular toric variety. As the assumption of non-singularity implies the surjectivity of r , we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow K_\Sigma \rightarrow T_\Sigma \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

with the third arrow given by r and K_Σ its kernel. Dualizing over \mathbb{Z} gives

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Pic} X_\Sigma \rightarrow 0$$

Tensoring with \mathbb{C}^\times gives the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \text{Hom}(T_\Sigma, \mathbb{C}^\times) \rightarrow \text{Pic} X_\Sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow 0$$

with the third arrow defining the map κ , providing the family of mirrors to X_Σ . Set

$$\check{\mathcal{X}} := \text{Hom}(T_\Sigma, \mathbb{C}^\times) = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[T_\Sigma].$$

The *Kähler moduli space* of X_Σ is defined to be

$$\mathcal{M}_\Sigma := \text{Pic} X_\Sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[K_\Sigma]$$

Of course, this is very simple in our case with $K_\Sigma \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Note that κ , by definition, is now a map:

$$\kappa : \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[T_\Sigma] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Sigma$$

A fiber of κ over a closed point of \mathcal{M}_Σ is isomorphic to $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[M]$.

Define the k -order thickening of the Kähler moduli space \mathcal{M}_Σ to be the ringed space

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma,k} := (\mathcal{M}_\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma,k}})$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma,k}(U)$ for $U \subseteq \mathcal{M}_\Sigma$ given by expressions of the form

$$\sum_{\substack{n=0 \\ I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}}}^{\infty} f_{n,I} y_0^n u_I$$

where $u_I \in R_k$, $f_{n,I}$ is a holomorphic function on U for each n and I and there are only a finite number of terms for each n .

The k -order thickening of the mirror family $\check{\mathcal{X}}_{\Sigma,k} := (\check{\mathcal{X}}_\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{X}}_{\Sigma,k}})$ is defined similarly, giving us a family

$$\kappa : \check{\mathcal{X}}_{\Sigma,k} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma,k}$$

In our particular example, writing x_i for the monomial $z^{v_i} \in \mathbb{C}[T_\Sigma]$, it's easy to see that κ is a map $\kappa : (\mathbb{C}^\times)^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ with

$$\kappa(x_0, x_1, x_2) = x_0 x_1 x_2.$$

The relevance of this discussion to our earlier constructions is clear; $W_k(Q)$ is, by construction, a regular function on $\check{\mathcal{X}}_{\Sigma,k}$. We can think of this map as providing a family of Landau-Ginzburg potentials.

The sheaf of relative differentials $\Omega_{\check{\mathcal{X}}_{\Sigma,k}/\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma,k}}^1$ is canonically isomorphic to the trivial locally free sheaf $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{X}}_{\Sigma,k}}$, with $m \otimes 1$ corresponding to the differential

$$\text{dlog} m := \frac{d(z^{\bar{m}})}{z^{\bar{m}}}$$

where \bar{m} is any lift of $m \in M$ to T_Σ under the map r and $\text{dlog} z^{\bar{m}}$ is well defined as a relative differential independent of the choice of the lift. Thus, a choice of generator $\wedge^2 M \cong \mathbb{Z}$ determines a nowhere-vanishing relative holomorphic two-form Ω , which is, up to sign, canonical. Explicitly, if e_1, e_2 is a positively oriented basis of M , we choose

$$\Omega := \text{dlog} e_1 \wedge \text{dlog} e_2$$

8.3 Automorphisms

There is an obvious dependence on the potential W_k on the position of the points Q, P_1, \dots, P_k ; significantly, the changes induced by different choices of points are restricted to those given by the action of a particularly nice group.

Definition 8.5 ($\mathbb{V}_{\Sigma,k}$). $\mathbb{V}_{\Sigma,k}$ is the group of automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}[T_\Sigma] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} R_k[[y_0]]$ generated by elements of the form $\exp(cu_I z^m \otimes n)$, whose action is given by:

$$\exp(cu_I z^m \otimes n)(z^{m'}) = z^{m'}(1 + cu_I \langle n, r(m') \rangle) z^m$$

The generators of this group preserve our choice of Ω ; in fact, the original version of this group was defined as a group of Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms.

8.4 Scattering diagrams

The essential tool for understanding the dependence of $W_k(Q)$ on $Q \in M_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the *scattering diagram*. The definition we shall give, from [16], has broad generalizations, but in this situation the underlying idea is very concrete and intuitively appealing. One defines a collection of rays and lines (*walls*) in the plane, each with an attached function in $\mathbb{C}[T_\Sigma] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} R_k[[y_0]]$. Given the data of a wall and an attached function, one can give an automorphism in $\mathbb{V}_{\Sigma,k}$ defined by crossing the wall in either of the possible directions.

Definition 8.6. [16] Fix $k \geq 0$.

1. A *ray* or *line* is a pair $(\mathfrak{d}, f_{\mathfrak{d}})$ such that

- $\mathfrak{d} \subseteq M_{\mathbb{R}}$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{d} = m'_0 - \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} r(m_0)$$

if \mathfrak{d} is a ray and

$$\mathfrak{d} = m'_0 - \mathbb{R} r(m_0)$$

if \mathfrak{d} is a line, for some $m'_0 \in M_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $m_0 \in T_\Sigma$ with $r(m_0) \neq 0$. The set \mathfrak{d} is called the *support* of the line or ray. If \mathfrak{d} is a ray, m'_0 is called the *initial point* of the ray, written as $\text{Init}(\mathfrak{d})$.

- $f_{\mathfrak{d}} \in \mathbb{C}[z^{m_0}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} R_k \subseteq \mathbb{C}[T_\Sigma] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} R_k[[y_0]]$.

2. A *scattering diagram* \mathfrak{D} is a finite collection of lines and rays.

If \mathfrak{D} is a scattering diagram, we write

$$\text{Supp}(\mathfrak{D}) := \bigcup_{\mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{D}} \mathfrak{d} \subseteq M_{\mathbb{R}}$$

and

$$\text{Sing}(\mathfrak{D}) := \bigcup_{\mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{D}} \partial \mathfrak{d} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{\mathfrak{d}_1, \mathfrak{d}_2 \\ \dim \mathfrak{d}_1 \cap \mathfrak{d}_2 = 0}} \mathfrak{d}_1 \cap \mathfrak{d}_2$$

where $\partial \mathfrak{d} = \{\text{Init}(\mathfrak{d})\}$ if \mathfrak{d} is a ray, and empty if it is a line.

Definition 8.7 ($\theta_{\gamma, \mathfrak{D}} \in \mathbb{V}_{\Sigma, k}$). Given a scattering diagram \mathfrak{D} and smooth immersion $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \text{Sing}(\mathfrak{D})$ whose endpoints are not in $\text{Supp}(\mathfrak{D})$, with γ intersecting $\text{Supp}(\mathfrak{D})$ transversally, this information defines a ring automorphism $\theta_{\gamma, \mathfrak{D}} \in \mathbb{V}_{\Sigma, k}$. First, find numbers

$$0 < t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_s < 1$$

and elements \mathfrak{d}_i such that $\gamma(t_i) \in \mathfrak{d}_i$, $\mathfrak{d}_i \neq \mathfrak{d}_j$ if $i \neq j$ and s is taken to be as large as possible to account for all elements of \mathfrak{D} that are crossed by γ . For each $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, define $\theta_{\gamma, \mathfrak{d}_i} \in \mathbb{V}_{\Sigma, k}$ to be the automorphism with action

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{\gamma, \mathfrak{d}_i}(z^m) &= z^m f_{\mathfrak{d}_i}^{\langle n_0, r(m) \rangle} \\ \theta_{\gamma, \mathfrak{d}_i}(d) &= d \end{aligned}$$

for $m \in T_{\Sigma}$, $d \in R_k[[y_0]]$, where $n_0 \in N$ is chosen to be primitive, annihilating the tangent space to \mathfrak{d}_i and satisfying

$$\langle n_0, \gamma'(t_i) \rangle < 0$$

Then $\theta_{\gamma, \mathfrak{D}} := \theta_{\gamma, \mathfrak{d}_s} \circ \dots \circ \theta_{\gamma, \mathfrak{d}_1}$, where composition is taken from right to left.

In our particular example, we construct our walls from the outgoing edges of Maslov index 0 trees and attach functions determined by the degree, multiplicity, and marked points of the corresponding tree. Given a general choice of P_1, \dots, P_k , there should be a finite set $\text{Trees}(\Sigma, P_1, \dots, P_k)$ of Maslov index zero trees in X_{Σ} with the property that each maps its marked points to some subset of $\{P_1, \dots, P_k\}$.

Definition 8.8. [16] We define $\mathfrak{D}(\Sigma, P_1, \dots, P_k)$ to be the scattering diagram which contains one ray for each element h of $\text{Trees}(\Sigma, P_1, \dots, P_k)$. The ray corresponding to h is of the form $(\mathfrak{d}, f_{\mathfrak{d}})$, where

- $\mathfrak{d} = h(E_{\text{out}})$.
- $f_{\mathfrak{d}} = 1 + w_{\Gamma}(E_{\text{out}}) \text{Mono}(h)$, where $w_{\Gamma}(E_{\text{out}})$ is the weight of the outgoing edge E_{out} .

When the outgoing edges of two trees meet, one can construct a new tree by gluing them together and attaching an appropriate outgoing edge. This outgoing edge corresponds to a ray in the scattering diagram \mathfrak{D} (see the lower left wall in Figure 2). It is this process that inspired the term “scattering.” This property automatically induces a very nice feature of \mathfrak{D} : the automorphism defined by going around a loop of any (unmarked) vertex in our scattering diagram is the identity. In other examples of scattering diagrams, walls will need to be added at intersection points to ensure this phenomenon [32].

Proposition 8.9. [16] *Let P_1, \dots, P_k be chosen generally. If*

$$P \in \text{Sing}(\mathfrak{D}(\Sigma, P_1, \dots, P_k))$$

is a singular point with $P \notin \{P_1, \dots, P_k\}$, and γ_P is a small loop around P , then $\theta_{\gamma_P, \mathfrak{D}(\Sigma, P_1, \dots, P_k)} = \text{Id}$.

8.5 Broken lines

Once we have assembled a scattering diagram, the Maslov index 2 disks with a particular endpoint Q can be found by analyzing objects called broken lines. The precise definition (given in Section 5.4.4 of [16]) is not necessary for this exposition, but the idea is quite simple. One begins with a line of slope equal to one of elements of $\Sigma^{[1]}$ in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ far away from our chosen points in the plane. Label the line with the monomial associated to its element of T_{Σ} , and begin traveling along the line (in the direction opposite that specified by the monomial) until reaching a wall of the scattering diagram. At this point, you can either choose to bend the line in a fashion dictated by the wall while appropriately adjusting the attached monomial or continue on undisturbed. If you end up hitting Q after some time, you've discovered a *broken line with endpoint Q* . Recalling that each of the walls of our scattering diagram correspond to a set of Maslov index 0 disks, the process of constructing a broken line can be thought of as taking a stem (the broken line) and attaching a set of disks corresponding to the walls at which the line bends. It turns out that each Maslov index 2 disk can be decomposed in such a fashion, giving us the following useful result.

Proposition 8.10. *If $Q \notin \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{D}(\Sigma, P_1, \dots, P_k))$ is general, then there is a one-to-one correspondence between broken lines with endpoint Q and Maslov index 2 disks with boundary Q . In addition, if β is a broken line corresponding to a disk h , and cz^m is the monomial associated to the last segment of β , then*

$$cz^m = \text{Mono}(h)$$

8.5.1 Examples

See Figures 2, 3, and 4.

8.6 Tropical invariants

In order to discuss the results of the period integrals, we must first give a notion of the tropical versions of the Gromov-Witten invariants involved in Givental's J -

Fig. 2 The scattering diagram for $W_2(Q)$ and this particular arrangement of points. Broken lines are shown dotted. The monomials corresponding to the broken lines are (beginning with that in the 12 o'clock position and proceeding clockwise): x_2 , $u_2x_1x_2$, x_1 , x_0 , $u_1x_0x_2$.




Fig. 3: Maslov index two disks corresponding to the broken lines in Figure 2.


function for \mathbb{P}^2 . The exact definitions are not particularly illuminating, but the basic idea is essential to understanding our mirror symmetry construction. In order to understand what type of curves contributing to these invariants, we must define a slightly different moduli space of parametrized tropical curves than was explored in Section 4.

Definition 8.11 ($\mathcal{M}_{0,k+1}(\Sigma, \Delta, P_1, \dots, P_k, \psi^V S)$). Let $P_1, \dots, P_k \in M_{\mathbb{R}}$ be general. Let $S \subseteq M_{\mathbb{R}}$. Define

$$\mathcal{M}_{0,k}(\Sigma, \Delta, P_1, \dots, P_k, \psi^V S)$$

to be the moduli space of rational $(k+1)$ -pointed tropical curves in X_{Σ} ,

Fig. 4 The scattering diagram for $W_2(Q')$ and the same choice of P_i as in Figure 2. The monomials corresponding to the broken lines are (beginning with that in the 12 o'clock position relative to Q' and proceeding clockwise): x_2 , $u_1 u_2 x_1 x_2$, $u_2 x_1$, $u_1 x_2$, x_1 , x_0 . For an explanation of the functions attached to the walls, see [16], Section 5.4.3.



$$h : (\Gamma, p_1, \dots, p_k, x) \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$$

of degree Δ such that

- $h(p_j) = P_j$, $1 \leq j \leq k$.
- $h(x) \in S$.
- If E_x shares a vertex V_j with E_{p_j} , then

$$\text{Val}(V_j) = 3 + v$$

and the valency of the vertex V_i attached to E_{p_i} for $i \neq j$ is given by

$$\text{Val}(V_j) = 3$$

- Otherwise, the valency of the vertex V_x attached to E_x is given by $\text{Val}(V_x) = v + 3$ and $\text{Val}(V_j) = 3$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$.
- The weight of each unbounded edge of Γ is either 0 or 1. Note that all unmarked, unbounded edges must have weight 1 and be translates of elements of $\Sigma_{[1]}$.

For compactness of notation, we depart slightly from the notation of [16]. Let $S_0 \subseteq M_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the set $\{Q\}$, $S_1 = L \subseteq M_{\mathbb{R}}$ the tropical line with vertex Q (the tropical curve given by attaching unbounded rays in the direction of $(-1, -1)$, $(1, 0)$, and $(0, 1)$ to Q), and $S_2 = M_{\mathbb{R}}$. Gross defines tropical invariants of the form

$$\langle P_1, \dots, P_k, \psi^V S_i \rangle_{0,d}^{\text{trop}}$$

with $3d - v - k + (2 - i) = 0$. These are meant to be (and, as we shall see, are) equal to the corresponding classical Gromov-Witten invariants of the form

$$\langle \overbrace{T_2, \dots, T_2}^k, \psi^V T_{2-i} \rangle_{0,d} \text{ for } \mathbb{P}^2. \text{ The tropical invariants are defined by summing the}$$

contributions of curves in $\mathcal{M}_{0,k+1}(\Sigma, \Delta, P_1, \dots, P_k, \psi^{v-j} S_{i-j})$ for $0 \leq j \leq i$ with the appropriate (and quite complicated) multiplicities. For the precise definitions, see Section 5.2 of [16]. Each of the tropical curves contributing to these invariants are glued from tropical disks and trees, objects with a close correspondence to terms appearing in the tropical Landau-Ginzburg potential. This is the connection that binds the A- and B-models in this construction. See Figures 5 and 6 for examples of tropical curves relevant to these invariants.




Fig. 5: Tropical curves contributing to $\langle P_1, P_2, \psi^2 S_0 \rangle_{0,2}^{trop}$, $\langle P_1, P_2, \psi^3 S_1 \rangle_{0,2}^{trop}$, and $\langle P_1, P_2, \psi^4 S_2 \rangle_{0,2}^{trop}$. Edges have been drawn as perturbed from their true direction when necessary for clarity.

8.7 Evaluation of integrals

Through the evaluation of period integrals, the tropical objects controlling the Landau-Ginzburg model are assembled into tropical curves representing A-model invariants. This is the punchline of the construction. Here we return to the setup of language of 7.2. Let \mathcal{R} be the local system on $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma,k} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ whose fiber over (u, \hbar) is given by

$$H_2(\kappa^{-1}(u), \operatorname{Re}(W_0(Q)/\hbar) \ll 0).$$

Note that this local system is unconcerned with our thickening by the ring R_k . Gross shows that it's possible to find a local basis Ξ_0, Ξ_1, Ξ_2 of \mathcal{R} satisfying Barannikov's conditions such that the integrals $\int_{\Xi} e^{W_0(Q)/\hbar} \Omega$ take on a particular form. We proceed by writing

$$\exp(W_k(Q)/\hbar) = \exp(W_0(Q)) \exp((W_k(Q) - W_0(Q))/\hbar)$$




Fig. 6: Tropical curves contributing to $\langle P_1, P_2, \psi^2 S_0 \rangle_{0,2}^{trop}$, $\langle P_1, P_2, \psi^3 S_1 \rangle_{0,2}^{trop}$, and $\langle P_1, P_2, \psi^4 S_2 \rangle_{0,2}^{trop}$ with basepoint Q' .

and expanding the latter part into a finite power series. This term corresponds to gluings of the finite number of Maslov index 2 tropical disks which pass through marked points. The variables u_i square to zero, so only a finite number of such gluings result in nonzero monomials. Using the properties of the sections Ξ_i , one can show that

$$\sum_{i=0}^2 \alpha^i \int_{\Xi_i} e^{(x_0+x_1+x_2)/\hbar} x_0^{n_0} x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \Omega = \hbar^{-3\alpha} e^{\alpha y_1} \sum_{i=0}^2 \psi_i(n_0, n_1, n_2) \alpha^i,$$

where

$$\psi_i(n_0, n_1, n_2) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} D_i(d, n_0, n_1, n_2) \hbar^{-(3d-n_0-n_1-n_2)} e^{dy_1}$$

and the D_i are some explicit numerical quantities. With this result and the explicit dependence of W_k on the scattering, the problem becomes combinatorial in nature. The key to understanding the integral is to first break the finite expansion of $\exp((W_k(Q) - W_0(Q))/\hbar)$ into several sums and showing that, selecting one of these sums, we can make the resulting contribution to the integral be zero if we move Q out toward infinity in an appropriate direction. The structure of the scattering diagram is used to study how these contributions change as Q moves back in from infinity. The resulting terms can be interpreted as tropical curves. As can be seen by comparing Figures 2 and 4, there is a clear dependence $W_k(Q)$ on Q . As the choices of Q and P_i vary, Gross shows that W_k is transformed by elements of $\mathbb{V}_{\Sigma,k}$; this results from the combinatorial properties of the scattering diagrams used to define the potential. It's easy to show that the action of such an element on W_k preserves the result of our desired integral. The result of this analysis, as given in [15], is the following direct relationship between A-model and B-model data:

9 Mirror symmetry

Theorem 9.1. *A choice of general points P_1, \dots, P_k and Q gives rise to a function $W_k(Q) \in \mathbb{C}[T_\Sigma] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} R_k[[y_0]]$ and hence a family of Landau-Ginzburg potentials on the family $\kappa: \check{\mathcal{X}}_{\Sigma,k} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma,k}$ with a relative nowhere-vanishing two form Ω as defined before. This data gives rise to a local system \mathcal{R} on $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma,k} \otimes \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[\hbar, \hbar^{-1}]]$ whose fiber over (κ, \hbar) is given by $H_2((\check{\mathcal{X}}_{\Sigma,k})_\kappa, \text{Re}(W_0/\hbar) \ll 0)$. There exists a multi-valued basis Ξ_0, Ξ_1, Ξ_2 of sections of \mathcal{R} satisfying the conditions of the introduction such that*

$$\sum_{i=0}^2 \alpha^i \int_{\Xi_i} e^{W_k(Q)/\hbar} \Omega = \hbar^{-3\alpha} \sum_{i=0}^2 \phi_i(\alpha \hbar)^i$$

with

$$(\phi_i(y_0, y_1, u_1, \dots, u_k, \hbar^{-1}) = \delta_{0,i} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi_{i,j}(y_0, y_1, u_1, \dots, u_k) \hbar^{-j}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq 2$, with

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{0,1} &= y_0 \\ \phi_{1,1} &= y_1 := \log(\kappa) \\ \phi_{2,1} &= y_2 := \sum_{i=1}^k u_i. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\phi_i = J_i^{\text{trop}}(y_0, y_1, y_2).$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{\text{trop}}(y_0, y_1, y_2, \hbar) &:= \exp\left(\frac{y_0 T_0 + y_1 T_1}{\hbar}\right) \cup \left(T_0 + \sum_{i=0}^2 \left(y_2 \hbar^{-1} \delta_{2,i} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{d \geq 1} \sum_{v \geq 0} \langle T_2^{3d+i-2-v}, \psi^v T_{2-i} \rangle_{0,d}^{\text{trop}} \hbar^{-(v+2)} e^{dy_1} \frac{y_2^{3d+i-2-v}}{(3d+i-2-v)!} \right) T_i \right) \\ &=: \sum_{i=0}^2 J_i^{\text{trop}} T_i \end{aligned}$$

There is an immediate corollary.

Corollary 9.2. *Let $\mathfrak{M}_{\Sigma,k}$ be the formal spectrum of the completion of $\mathbb{C}[K_\Sigma] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} R_k[[y_0]]$ at the maximal ideal $(y_0, \kappa - 1, \{u_i\})$. The completion is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[[y_0, y_1]] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} R_k$ with $y_1 := \log \kappa$, the latter expanded in a power series at $\kappa = 1$. Let*

$$\check{\mathfrak{X}}_{\Sigma,k} = \check{\mathcal{X}}_{\Sigma,k} \times_{\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma,k}} \mathfrak{M}_{\Sigma,k}.$$

The function $W_k(Q)$ is regular on $\check{\mathfrak{X}}_{\Sigma,k}$ and restricts to $W_0(Q) = x_0 + x_1 + x_2$ on the closed fiber of $\check{\mathfrak{X}}_{\Sigma,k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\Sigma,k}$ and hence gives a deformation of this function over $\mathfrak{M}_{\Sigma,k}$. Thus we have a morphism from $\mathfrak{M}_{\Sigma,k}$ to the universal unfolding moduli space

$\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}[[y_0, y_1, y_2]]$. *This map is given by:*

$$\begin{aligned} y_0 &\mapsto y_0 \\ y_1 &\mapsto \log(\kappa) \\ y_2 &\mapsto \sum_i u_i \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have the equivalence between the classical accuracy of Gross's tropical descendent invariants and Proposition 7.3 (mirror symmetry for \mathbb{P}^2). More precisely, consider the following proposition:

Proposition 9.3. $J_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{trop} = J_{\mathbb{P}^2}$.

We have the following as a corollary of Theorem 9.1.

Corollary 9.4. *Proposition 7.3 and Proposition 9.3 are equivalent.*

10 Further reading

This chapter has given mention to topics appearing in a wide swath of literature, and there are many connected works for the interested reader to explore. As mentioned in the introduction, an excellent survey of the relationship between the Strominger-Yau-Zaslow conjecture and the Gross-Siebert program can be found in [17]. This article serves as a helpful reading guide for much of the literature surrounding this topic. Another valuable source of insight into the philosophy of the program can be found in the article giving its announcement [18].

For a more in depth treatment of log geometry, the reader is recommended the relevant chapter in the book [16] by Gross. This source has the advantage to be tailored towards the Gross-Siebert program. Log differential forms in the Gross-Siebert program are treated in [19, 42]. Concerning logarithmic Gromov-Witten invariants, the foundational paper [21] by Gross and Siebert defines the relevant moduli space.

There are many good introductions to tropical geometry. For an entertaining and insightful overview, see the lecture of Maxim Kontsevich given at the Fields Institute [31]. The application of the field to enumerative geometry was spearheaded by Grigory Mikhalkin [38]; our exposition is based on [41] and [16]. Welschinger Invariants are treated in [27, 44]. Significant further progress has been made by Allerman, Markwig, and Rau, among others [2] [36]. The latter works establish a tropical intersection theory whose analysis significantly expands the range of Gromov-Witten theory invariants calculable via tropical methods.

Another application of tropical geometry to mirror symmetry, in this case the elliptic curve, is given by Boehm, Bringmann, Buchholz, and Markwig in [6]. As repeatedly mentioned, a much more comprehensive source for the material given in Section 6 can be found in Gross's book [16], while the author gives a more concise description in an article [15]. Chapter 6 of the book also contains very explicit and concrete description of the details of the Gross-Siebert program in dimension two. Some of the tools used in this construction, specifically scattering diagrams and broken lines, seem to have a very rich structure with a number of deep connections beyond this particular context. For a discussion of the relationship with the so-called "wall crossing structures" of Kontsevich and Soibelman, see Section 10 of [33]. An application to cluster algebras is forthcoming in work by Gross, Hacking, Keel and Kontsevich.

References

1. D. Abramovich and Q. Chen. Stable logarithmic maps to Deligne-Faltings pairs II. *To appear in Asian J. Math.*, arXiv:1102.4531 (2011).
2. Lars Allermann and Johannes Rau. First steps in tropical intersection theory. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 264(3):633–670, 2010.
3. Denis Auroux. Mirror symmetry and T-duality in the complement of an anticanonical divisor. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0706.3207*, 2007.
4. S Barannikov. Semi-infinite hodge structures and mirror symmetry for projective spaces. *arXiv preprint math/0010157*, 2000.
5. Victor V Batyrev and Lev A Borisov. On Calabi-Yau complete intersections in toric varieties. *Higher-dimensional complex varieties (Trento, 1994)*, pages 39–65, 1996.
6. Janko Boehm, Kathrin Bringmann, Arne Buchholz, and Hannah Markwig. Tropical mirror symmetry for elliptic curves. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1309.5893*, 2013.
7. Q. Chen. Stable logarithmic maps to Deligne-Faltings pairs I. *Ann. of Math.*, 180(2):455–521, 2014.
8. Kenji Fukaya. Multivalued morse theory, asymptotic analysis and mirror symmetry. *Graphs and patterns in mathematics and theoretical physics*, 73:205–278, 2005.
9. Kenji Fukaya, Yong-Geun Oh, Hiroshi Ohta, and Kaoru Ono. Lagrangian Floer theory on compact toric manifolds II: bulk deformations. *Selecta Mathematica*, 17(3):609–711, 2011.
10. William Fulton. *Introduction to toric varieties*. Number 131. Princeton University Press, 1993.
11. Andreas Gathmann. Tropical algebraic geometry. *arXiv preprint math/0601322*, 2006.
12. Andreas Gathmann, Michael Kerber, and Hannah Markwig. Tropical fans and the moduli spaces of tropical curves. *Compositio Mathematica*, 145(01):173–195, 2009.
13. Alexander B. Givental. Equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (13):613–663, 1996.
14. Mark Gross. Toric degenerations and Batyrev-Borisov duality. *Mathematische Annalen*, 333(3):645–688, 2005.
15. Mark Gross. Mirror symmetry for \mathbb{P}^2 and tropical geometry. *Advances in Mathematics*, 224(1):169–245, 2010.
16. Mark Gross. *Tropical geometry and mirror symmetry*, volume 114 of *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 2011.
17. Mark Gross. Mirror symmetry and the Strominger-Yau-Zaslow conjecture. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1212.4220*, 2012.
18. Mark Gross and Bernd Siebert. Affine manifolds, log structures, and mirror symmetry. *Turk J Math*, 27:33–60, 2003.
19. Mark Gross and Bernd Siebert. Mirror symmetry via logarithmic degeneration data, II. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 19(4):679–780, 2010.
20. Mark Gross and Bernd Siebert. From real affine geometry to complex geometry. *Annals of mathematics*, 174(3):1301–1428, 2011.
21. Mark Gross and Bernd Siebert. Logarithmic Gromov-Witten invariants. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 26(2):451–510, 2013.
22. Mark Gross, Bernd Siebert, et al. Mirror symmetry via logarithmic degeneration data I. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 72(2):169–338, 2006.
23. Mark Gross, Pelham MH Wilson, et al. Large complex structure limits of K3 surfaces. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 55(3):475–546, 2000.
24. Nigel Hitchin. The moduli space of special Lagrangian submanifolds. *arXiv preprint dg-ga/9711002*, 1997.
25. L. Illusie. *Logarithmic spaces (according to K. Kato)*, volume 15 of *Perspect. Math.* Barsotti Symposium in Algebraic Geometry (Abano Terme, 1991), Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1994.

26. Hiroshi Iritani. Quantum D-modules and generalized mirror transformations. *Topology*, 47(4):225–276, 2008.
27. Ilia Itenberg, Viatcheslav Kharlamov, and Eugenii Shustin. Welschinger invariant and enumeration of real rational curves. *International Mathematics research notices*, 2003(49):2639–2653, 2003.
28. F. Kato. Log smooth deformation and moduli of log smooth curves. *Internat. J. Math.*, 11(2):215–232, 2000.
29. K. Kato. *Logarithmic structures of Fontaine-Illusie*. Algebraic analysis, geometry, and number theory (Baltimore, MD, 1988). Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 1989.
30. Y. Konishi and S. Minabe. Local B-model and mixed Hodge structure. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 14(4):1089–1145, 2010.
31. Maxim Kontsevich. What is tropical mathematics?, 10 2013.
32. Maxim Kontsevich and Yan Soibelman. Affine structures and non-Archimedean analytic spaces. In *The unity of mathematics*, pages 321–385. Springer, 2006.
33. Maxim Kontsevich and Yan Soibelman. Wall-crossing structures in Donaldson-Thomas invariants, integrable systems and mirror symmetry. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1303.3253*, 2013.
34. J. Li. Stable morphisms to singular schemes and relative stable morphisms. *J. Differential Geom.*, 57(3):509–578, 2001.
35. IU I Manin. *Frobenius manifolds, quantum cohomology, and moduli spaces*, volume 47. American Mathematical Soc., 1999.
36. Hannah Markwig and Johannes Rau. Tropical descendant Gromov-Witten invariants. *manuscripta mathematica*, 129(3):293–335, 2009.
37. Grigory Mikhalkin. Amoebas of algebraic varieties and tropical geometry. In *Different faces of geometry*, pages 257–300. Springer, 2004.
38. Grigory Mikhalkin. Enumerative tropical algebraic geometry in \mathbb{R}^2 . *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 18(2):313–377, 2005.
39. J. Milne. *Étale cohomology*, volume 33 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.
40. Takeo Nishinou. Disc counting on toric varieties via tropical curves. *arXiv preprint math/0610660*, 2006.
41. Takeo Nishinou and Bernd Siebert. Toric degenerations of toric varieties and tropical curves. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 135(1):1–51, 2006.
42. Helge Ruddat. Log Hodge groups on a toric Calabi-Yau degeneration. *Mirror Symmetry and Tropical Geometry, Contemp. Mathematics*, 527:113–164, 2008.
43. Helge Ruddat and Bernd Siebert. Canonical coordinates in toric degenerations, 2014.
44. Eugenii Shustin. A tropical calculation of the Welschinger invariants of real toric Del Pezzo surfaces. *arXiv preprint math/0406099*, 2004.
45. J. Steenbrink. Limits of Hodge structures. *Invent. Math.*, 31(3):229–257, 1975/76.
46. Andrew Strominger, Shing-Tung Yau, and Eric Zaslow. Mirror symmetry is T-duality. *Nuclear Physics B*, 479(1):243–259, 1996.
47. J.-Y. Welschinger. Invariants of real rational symplectic 4-manifolds and lower bounds in real enumerative geometry. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, (336(4)):341–344, 2003.